Electrochemical Energy Reviews https://doi.org/10.1007/s41918-019-00060-4

REVIEW ARTICLE



Building Safe Lithium-Ion Batteries for Electric Vehicles: A Review

Jian Duan¹ · Xuan Tang² · Haifeng Dai² · Ying Yang¹ · Wangyan Wu¹ · Xuezhe Wei² · Yunhui Huang¹

Received: 8 April 2019 / Revised: 13 June 2019 / Accepted: 5 December 2019 © The Author(s) 2019

Abstract

Lithium-ion batteries (LIBs), with relatively high energy density and power density, have been considered as a vital energy source in our daily life, especially in electric vehicles. However, energy density and safety related to thermal runaways are the main concerns for their further applications. In order to deeply understand the development of high energy density and safe LIBs, we comprehensively review the safety features of LIBs and the failure mechanisms of cathodes, anodes, separators and electrolyte. The corresponding solutions for designing safer components are systematically proposed. Additionally, the in situ or operando techniques, such as microscopy and spectrum analysis, the fiber Bragg grating sensor and the gas sensor, are summarized to monitor the internal conditions of LIBs in real time. The main purpose of this review is to provide some general guidelines for the design of safe and high energy density batteries from the views of both material and cell levels.

Keywords Electric vehicles · Lithium-ion battery · Safety · Batteries design · Real-time monitoring

1 Introduction

Nowadays, lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) are important energy storage devices because of their high energy/power density, long cycle life and environmental friendliness [1, 2]. Having dominated as the power sources for consumer electronics, LIBs are advancing into the field of transportation, especially electric vehicles (EVs) [3]. One important parameter of EVs is driving range per charge, which is closely related to energy density and the weight ratio of the LIB system to the whole car, etc. Since the energy density is the most important factor to fulfill a long driving range EV, many countries have set goals to design the LIBs with high energy density in the near

Jian Duan, Xuan Tang and Haifeng Dai have contributed equally to this work.

Ying Yang yingyang@tongji.edu.cn

Xuezhe Wei weixzh@tongji.edu.cn

Yunhui Huang huangyh@tongji.edu.cn

¹ School of Materials Science and Engineering, Tongji University, 4800 Caoan Road, Shanghai 201804, China

² Clean Energy Automotive Engineering Center, School of Automotive Studies, Tongji University, 4800 Caoan Road, Shanghai 201804, China future. For example, the objective of energy density is set to be 350 Wh kg⁻¹ for the cell and 250 Wh kg⁻¹ for the pack by 2020, and the driving range of EVs is set to be 400 km for one charge in China [4]. Presently, in order to achieve the above energy density goals, many efforts have been focused on developing high-capacity cathode and anode materials of LIBs [5–7]. For cathode materials, Ni-rich ternary materials $Li(Ni_xCo_yMn_z)O_2 (x>0.5) \text{ or } Li(Ni_{0.85}Co_{0.1}Al_{0.05})O_2 (NCA)$ and Mn-based Li-rich metal-oxide $xLi_2MnO_3(1-x)LiMO_2$ (M = Mn, Co, Ni) have been considered as the most promising candidates with high capacity [8, 9], while on the anode side, major efforts have been devoted to constructing siliconbased [10] or tin-based carbon composites with high capacity and good cycling stability [11]. However, higher-capacity materials tend to show lower thermal stability. For instance, Ni-rich materials [Li(Ni_{1-x-v}Co_xMn_v)O₂] with a higher Ni content could offer higher capacity, but their thermal stability would be reduced [12].

Apart from energy density, safety is another main concern for LIBs widespread application [13]. Several burning accidents of portable electronics and EVs have been reported and drawn lots of public attention. Researchers have paid more attention to the essence of unsafe behavior of LIBs, showing that the exothermic reactions inside batteries are the main reasons. In general, these exothermic reactions include: (1) excessive delithiation of cathodes would result in irreversible structure change of cathodes, oxygen release and oxidization of organic solvents [14]; (2) lithium dendrites formed on anodes react with electrolytes to generate a large amount of gas, heat and continuous growth of lithium dendrites, which could further penetrate separator and hence result in internal short circuit of batteries [15]; (3) the melting of PE-based separators when temperature increases to above 130 °C, which also leads to internal short circuit [16]; (4) the electrolyte is easily decomposed at high temperature (> 200 $^{\circ}$ C) and high voltage (about 4.6 V) due to the carbonate organic solvents with low flash points and low boiling points, which will generate large amount of heat [17, 18]. When the amount of heat generation is higher than its dissipation in a battery, the exothermic reactions will cause fast increase in internal temperature and pressure of batteries, which may result in thermal runaways, burning or even explosion of LIBs [19, 20]. Nowadays, with the help of advanced characterization and electrochemical analysis techniques, the researchers are trying to get a deeper understanding of safety issues of LIBs and then design novel, safe and high-capacity cathode and anode materials, as well as stabler electrolytes and separators for better batteries with high energy density for EVs. In practical application, LIBs are assembled in series and parallels into a battery module with high voltage and energy, and the safety issue of the battery system is different from a single cell [21]. Rather than just focusing on safer materials for LIBs, it is necessary to develop real-time internal state monitoring methods to keep track of the cell's state of charge (SOC) [22] and state of health (SOH) [23], electrolyte leakage [24], dendrite growth [25], etc., to ensure the safety of the running cells at the same time. For example, in situ ultrasonic detection for tracking SOC [26], neutron diffraction for dendrite detection [27] and a gas sensor for electrolyte leakage detection [24] can be used to monitor the internal state of the battery during operation, thus ensuring that the battery is working within the safety range. In this review, we aim to provide guidance toward reasonable materials design and effective cell monitoring methods for the practical application of high energy density LIBs in EVs. We systematically discuss the safety features of LIBs and give a brief summary of certification standards before LIBs applied in EVs and failure mechanisms of cathodes and anodes. Based on the failure mechanisms, we collect and discuss the corresponding methods for fabricating applicable electrode materials for high-quality LIBs. To ensure LIBs working within the safety range, advanced monitoring methods for the cells are summarized. Finally, we present a prospect for the future development of safer LIBs in EVs.

2 Safety Issue of LIBs

Due to high energy density, high voltage and long cycle life, LIBs have been applied extensively in the fields such as consumer electronics and transportation since their invention in

1991 by Sony Ltd. [28]. The safety of LIBs is long regarded as one of the important issues due to the serious consequence. Even for the small cell phones, once the explosion accident happens, it will draw much public attention to the safety issues, cause maybe millions of dollars loss and bring damage to the extensive application [29, 30]. Compared with consumer electronics, EVs are driven by high-energy and power battery systems that contain a large number of cells connected in series and parallels [21]. For example, the Tesla Model S (99 kWh battery pack) is powered by 8256 cylindrical cells packed together in series and parallels. The safety issue becomes more important and also more complicated. Due to the special working condition of EVs, the battery system will suffer different kinds of vibration, extreme temperature, water soak, fast charging and so on, which are big challenges for LIBs [29, 31, 32]. The triggering conditions of the failures for EVs include car crash, hard object intrusion, overcharge, overdischarge, water soak, overheat, battery leakage and electrical system failure, etc. In order to design a safer and more reliable LIB-based energy system for EVs [29, 31], it is important to gain a deep and comprehensive understanding of LIBs safety issue behind these accidents.

3 Safety Features of LIBs and Certification Standards

As we know, a LIB contains two electrodes, the cathode and the anode, separated by the ion-conductor and electroninsulator nonaqueous electrolyte. The electrode materials usually have a layered structure with lithiation/delithiation ability. LIBs have high cell voltage, high volumetric, gravimetric and power density, which have gradually become principal energy sources in our daily life. However, Li is an active element, and the LIBs always work at various voltages, temperatures and other conditions; thus, their safety issues should be especially concerned.

In general, LIBs are temperature- and voltagesensitive products. Figure 1 shows the behaviors of Li(Ni_{0.5}Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.3})O₂/graphite-based LIBs at various voltages and temperatures [33]. There is a comfortable zone with a voltage range from 2.5 to 4.2 V and a temperature range from -30 to 55 °C, which is the design index formulated by the battery plant. As temperature increases, the batteries possess better rate capability due to fast ion migration both in the electrolyte and in electrode materials, and guick electrochemical reaction, but the side reactions become severe, resulting in a fast capacity decay. If the temperature continues increasing, hazards may occur due to the decomposition of the solid electrolyte interface (SEI) as well as electrode materials and continues intensified side reactions. On the other hand, low temperature will lead to lithium plating on the anode and poor rate capability. Overcharge will result in

Fig. 1 The behaviors of Li(Ni_{0.5}Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.3})O₂/graphitebased LIBs at various voltages and temperatures. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [33]. Copyright 2018, Science Publishing Group



the decomposition of cathode materials and the oxidization of the electrolyte due to depleted lithium ion of the cathode. Overdischarge will lead to the decomposition of SEIs on the anode and may even cause copper foil oxidization. More details are analyzed as below.

3.1 Safety Features of LIBs

3.1.1 LIB Behaviors at Various Voltages

Overcharge Overcharge often happens when the battery is charged forcedly after reaching cutoff voltage [32]. Generally, once the battery is overcharged, over much lithium will be delithiated from the cathode and plate on the anode [15, 34]. It is a common viewpoint that overcharge will cause a collapse of cathode materials and exacerbate exothermic side reactions, which will generate a lot of heat and hence rapidly increase the battery internal temperature [32, 35]. According to public data, overcharge is one major reason for EV failures. Deeply understanding the overcharge is critical for designing safe and reliable EV batteries.

On the cathode side, the olivine-type $LiFePO_4$ (LFP) cathode has the best overcharge performance due to its

stabler structure after full delithiation. Iriyama's experiments indicate that LFP could keep its structure stable even if charged to 5.0 V (vs. Li/Li⁺) [36]. On the contrast, overdelithiation of the layered oxide cathode often leads to the collapse of material structure, the decomposition of active materials and severe side reactions [37-39], and may even lead to the molecular oxygen release, resulting in severe oxidation of the electrolyte [35, 38]. Although the ohmic heat increases with internal resistance, the rapid temperature increase inside the cell is mainly due to the serious side reactions between the cathode and the electrolyte [35, 40]. Zeng et al. [35] reported that Li_xCoO₂ could be deeply charged to oxidative CoO₂, but x = 0.16 is a critical point. If the value x is less than 0.16, Li_xCoO_2 will react with electrolytes violently, releasing a lot of gases and heat. The critical point for the cell with LiNi_{0.33}Co_{0.33}Mn_{0.33}O₂ as the cathode is at 180% SOC [40, 41]. Once the cell is charged over 180% SOC, the cathode reacts violently with the electrolyte, and the internal temperature goes up rapidly [40].

Overcharge will also be much easy to cause the dissolution of transition metal into the electrolyte, which will deeply deteriorate the electrochemical performance of the graphite anode [41]. In the $LiMn_2O_4$ /graphite battery, the solvated Mn^{2+} from the cathode will deposit on the carbon anode surface and cause a significant impedance rise and capacity fade of the anode [42, 43].

For the anode side, metallic lithium will deposit on the anode surface due to too much lithium for anode acception [34, 44]. The deposited lithium will react with the electrolyte, leading to impedance increase and a lot of heat generation at the same time [34]. In the worst case, the deposited lithium will form dendrites that could puncture the separator and lead to self-short, which may trigger the thermal runaway [45–47]. Optimizing the cutoff voltage is an effective way to maintain low cell impedance and prolong the cycle life without sacrificing the energy output. Improving the structure stability of the cathode and the electrochemical stability of the electrolyte at high voltage is effective for the batteries to endure the overcharge.

Overdischarge Overdischarge means that the cell is discharged to the voltage below the lowest limit, which depends on the chemistry of the battery. Overdischarge will deteriorate the performance of LIBs or even lead to serious safety problems, such as internal short circuit.

Overdischarge of the anode will cause decomposition of the SEI, generating gases like carbon dioxide, methane and carbon monoxide, thus inducing the cell swell [48]. Once the battery is recharged, new SEIs will be formed, consuming active lithium ions and electrolytes. And the new SEI will change the electrochemical properties of the anode, leading to capacity degradation [49]. In the case of deep overcharge, once the anode potential reaches the oxidation potential of copper around 3.5 V (vs. Li⁺/Li), the copper current collector will be oxidized and Cu²⁺ ions will be dissolved into the electrolyte and migrate across the separator [50, 51]. Then the Cu²⁺ ions will be reduced during the subsequent charging process, leading to the deposition of Cu dendrites on the cathode [50]. With the growth of Cu dendrites, the separator will be penetrated, resulting in self-short and even triggering a thermal runaway [49].

Besides that, the morphology of the cathode material also changes during the overdischarge process [51, 52]. Shu et al. investigated the structural change of LiCoO₂ during the overdischarge process. They noticed the irreversible formation of the metastable phase $Li_{1+x}Co^{II III}O_{2-y}$ when the voltage goes under 1.0 V (vs. Li/Li⁺), the $Li_{1+x}Co^{II III}O_{2-y}$ will decompose into Li₂O and Co as the final products [53]. For LFP, it could be overdischarged to 1.0 V (vs. Li/Li⁺) without any damage. With further overdischarging to 0 V (vs. Li/Li⁺), the olivine structure will collapse, forming amorphous compounds [52]. In other words, LFP will be destroyed completely with the insertion of 3.78 Li ions. For spinel LiMn₂ O_4 (LMO), with overdischarging, the tetragonal Li₂Mn₂O₄ is produced at 1.0 V (vs. Li/Li⁺), and the process is reversible. If the voltage drops to 0.33 V (vs. Li/Li⁺), LMO will be destroyed to irreversible solid-state amorphization [52, 54]. The layered Ni-rich LiNi_xMn_yCo_zO₂ (x+y+z=1) now becomes hot cathode materials to meet the increasing demand of high energy density, and their overdischarging performances are even poorer than those of LFP and LMO.

3.1.2 LIB Behaviors at Various Temperatures

Low-temperature performance At freezing temperature, LIBs will suffer from capacity fade, power attenuation and charge difficulty, etc. [55, 56], which is ascribed to the low electrolyte conductivity [57], poor kinetics of charge transfer [58, 59], increased SEI resistance [55, 60] and low solid-state lithium diffusivity in graphite [61]. The aforementioned low diffusivity of Li ions will result in a decrease in the intercalation rate, leading to lithium plating at the graphite surface during the charge process [55, 59]. Most of the deposited lithium will turn into "dead lithium," and it no longer participates in the later electrode reaction, which is the major reason for capacity fading [55]. Even worse, the growing dendrites could pierce the separator membrane and induce an internal short circuit, which could result in fearful safety problems [62].

Overheat Overheat will result in serious consequences. As the temperature increases, normally, the battery undergoes the following reactions: SEI decomposition, reactions between the anode-/cathode-active materials and the electrolyte, electrolyte decomposition and the reaction between the anode-active materials and the binder, etc. [63, 64], eventually resulting in the thermal runaway of the battery system. These reactions may not happen in an exactly given order; some of them may be occurring simultaneously.

When the temperature is above 60 °C, the SEI starts to decompose and the exposed lithiated anode materials (LiC_6 as an example) will rapidly react with the electrolyte. And the high temperature will enhance the dissolution of the transition-metal ions into the electrolyte. The capacity degradation becomes fast at elevated temperature. As the temperature goes up to 90 °C, the SEI will completely decompose and side reactions will intensify, generating a lot of gases and heat. At this moment, the battery may lose most of its capacity. As temperature goes higher to the melting point of the polymer separator, the battery will lose all of the functions. The high pressure may even burst the cell, and poison gas and electrolyte solvents will be leaked out. During the overheating process, if the generated heat is more than what can be dissipated, the exothermic processes would proceed and the cell's temperature will increase rapidly. The rising temperature will further accelerate the chemical reactions, rather than the desired galvanic reactions, generating even larger amount of heat, and may eventually result in thermal runaways. Efficient thermal control of battery is vital for safe and reliable operation in the lifetime. In recent years, many

coolants have been used in battery thermal management systems, such as liquid, air, phase change materials, hydrogel and so on [65, 66]. The improvement of battery thermal management systems greatly depends on optimizing the heat capacity and the heat transfer rate of the coolants. Optimizing the thermal management structure to avoid the hot spot suffering overtemperature is also an effective remedy [21].

3.2 Certification Standards of LIBs for EVs

Accidents of EVs occurred frequently in recent years, drawing a lot of public concerns about LIB safety. In order to improve the safety of EVs, many compulsory testing standards have been formulated for the LIBs before assembling the batteries in cars. Some typical compulsory testing standards formulated by each region of the world such as SAE J2464-2009, SAE J2929-2011, QT/T 743-2006, GB/T 31485, SAND 3123-2005, UN 38.3-2011 and IEC 62660-2:2010 are summarized in Table 1 [67]. The tests are strived to simulate how LIBs would react in real accidents.

According to Table 1, we can see that all of the testing standards focus on mechanical abuse, thermal abuse and electrical abuse with a slight difference. For mechanical abuse, the principal items are vibration, drop, penetration, immersion and crush tests. For thermal abuse, the main issue is thermal stability. For electrical abuse, the most focused ones are external short circuit, overcharge and overdischarge tests. Nowadays, some new test subjects such as rotation, thermal shock cycles and partial short circuit have been proposed to add to the compulsory test standards. However, the reasons for EV failures are diversiform. The battery safety issue is a complex subject, which should be paid more efforts. We need to get more information from the in-depth analysis.

The European Council for Automotive Research and Development (EUCAR) assigns the hazard into 8 levels as shown in Table 2 [68]. To meet the requirement of automobile safety, the LIB failures should be suppressed at least to level 4 [69]. To alleviate the hazardous level, many works have been done, such as modifying active materials and strengthening separators. Due to the lack of cognition on the complicated failure mechanisms about LIBs, it is urgent to establish a clear and quantitative relationship among materials, electrodes and cell levels.

4 Design for Safe LIBs on the Cell Level

4.1 Cathode Materials

Presently, as shown in Table 3 [33], three types of cathode materials are widely used, which are LFP, LMO and layered

No.	Standard	SAE J2464- 2009	SAE j2929- 2011	QC/T 743-2006	GB/T 31485	SAND 2005- 3123	UN 38.3-2011	IEC 62660- 2:2010
1	Mechanical abuse							
	Vibration	N/A		\checkmark		N/A		
	Shock	\checkmark		N/A	N/A	\checkmark	N/A	N/A
	Drop	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark	N/A	N/A
	Penetration	\checkmark	N/A			\checkmark	N/A	N/A
	Rotation	\checkmark	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A
	Immersion	\checkmark		N/A			N/A	N/A
	Crush			\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	
2	Thermal abuse							
	High-temperature hazard/vehicle fire	\checkmark		N/A	N/A		N/A	
	Thermal stability		N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A
	Thermal stability cycling (without thermal management)	\checkmark	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Thermal shock cycling			N/A	\checkmark		\checkmark	
3	Electrical abuse							
	External short circuit	\checkmark				\checkmark		
	Partial short circuit	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\checkmark	N/A	N/A
	Overcharge	\checkmark				\checkmark		
	Overdischarge	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	N/A	\checkmark
	Forced discharge	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\checkmark	N/A

Table 1 Summary of the compulsory test standards for LIBs. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [67]. Copyright 2018, Elsevier

 $\sqrt{--}$ with requirement to take such a test; N/A--without requirement to take such a test

Hazard level	Description	Classification criteria and effect
0	No effect	No effect. No loss of functionality
1	Passive. Protection activated	No defect; no leakage, no venting, fire or flame; no rupture, no explosion; no exothermic reaction or thermal runaway; cell reversibly damaged; repair of protection device needed
2	Defect/damage	No leakage; no venting, fire, or flame; no rupture; no explosion; no exothermic reaction or thermal runaway; cell irreversibly damaged; repair needed
3	Leakage, $\Delta_{\rm mass} < 50\%$	No venting, fire, or flame; no rupture; no explosion; weight loss < 50% of electrolyte weight (elec- trolyte = "solvent + salt")
4	Venting, $\Delta_{\text{mass}} \ge 50\%$	No fire or flame; no rupture; no explosion; weight $loss \ge 50\%$ of electrolyte weight (electrolyte = "solvent + salt")
5	Fire or flame	No rupture; no explosion (i.e., no flying parts)
6	Rupture	No explosion, but flying parts of the active mass
7	Explosion	Explosion (i.e., disintegration of the cell)

Table 2 The EUCAR hazard levels. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [68]. Copyright 2015, American Chemical Society

 Table 3 Comparison of electrochemical properties and price for cathode materials. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [33]. Copyright 2018, Science publishing group

Material	LiFePO ₄	LiMn ₂ O ₄	LiCoO ₂	$Li(Ni_xCo_yMn_z)O_2$	LiNi _{0.8} Co _{0.15} Al _{0.05} O ₂
Average voltage (V)	3.4	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.6
Specific capacity (mAh g ⁻¹)	130-140	100-120	135-150	160-220	180-200
Cycle number	2000-5000	500-2000	500-1000	800-2000	800-2000
Safety performance	Excellent	Good	Poor	Poor	Poor
Price (thousand $\ ton^{-1}$)	7.4–14.7	4.4-8.8	50–57	22–29	26–35

oxide of LiMO₂ (M=Ni, Co, Mn and Al). Among them, LFP is commonly used for its low cost, the highest thermal stability and long cyclability. It could keep stable at a temperature of 350 °C [70], which is attributed to its strong P=O covalent bond in PO_4^{3-} octahedral structure [71]. The equation shows the decomposition for the delithiated Li_0FePO_4 [72].

$$2\mathrm{Li}_{0}\mathrm{FePO}_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}_{2}\mathrm{P}_{2}\mathrm{O}_{7} + 0.5\mathrm{O}_{2} \tag{1}$$

However, it shows a low theoretical specific capacity of 170 mAh g^{-1} and low volume energy density due to its low tap density of about 2.2 g cm⁻³.

In contrast to LFP, LMO possesses better manufacturing performance. However, it suffers from low energy density and poor cycling stability due to Mn dissolution during the charge/discharge process, especially at evaluated temperature. Compared with other cathode materials, Nibased layered oxides have been widely investigated because of their higher specific energy. Among them, pure LiNiO₂ suffers from poor electrochemical properties and thermal stability [73–75]. By replacing Ni with Mn, Co or Al into lattice structure, typical LiNi_xCo_yMn_zO₂ (0 < x < 1, 0 < y < 1, 0 < z < 1, x + y + z = 1) including NCM333 (x:y:z = 3:3:3), NCM433 (4:3:3), NCM532 (5:3:2), NMC622 (6:2:2) and NCM811 (8:1:1), has been developed to enhance electrochemical properties [76, 77]. Among these materials, higher utilization of lithium at the same voltage range would be obtained with the increasing Ni content. Thus, the cathode materials with a higher Ni content such as LiNi_{0.8}Co_{0.15}Al_{0.05}O₂ (NCA) and NCM811 have been regarded as promising candidates to meet requirements of energy, power and cost for application of EVs [78, 79]. However, it is reported that these materials with larger specific energy would present a lower onset temperature of phase transition and are easy to release a large amount of oxygen during phase transition. Figure 2 shows the guidelines for the temperature ranges of phase transitions for the four charged NMC433, NMC532, NMC622 and NMC811 [76]. It could be seen that the onset temperature of NMC111 is about 260 °C, but the first phase transition of NMC 811 starts at about 135 °C [76]. It was reported that the onset temperature of NCA is around 170 °C [80].

(2)

 $Li_{0.36}Ni_{0.8}Co_{0.15}Al_{0.05}O_2 \rightarrow 0.18Li_2O + 0.8NiO + 0.05Co_3O_4 + 0.025Al_2O + 0.372O_2$

Fig. 2 a Onset temperature and b the corresponding temperature region of the phase transition for NMC. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [76]. Copyright 2014, American Chemical Society



To effectively enhance the safety and electrochemical performances of LIBs, the mechanism of capacity degradation and poor thermal stability for these cathode materials should be deeply understood. With the aids of various advanced characterization tools, it is convenient for us to explore and optimize cathode materials of safe LIBs for EVs.

4.1.1 Failure Mechanism of Cathode

In general, poor thermal stability and cycle performance of nickel-rich layered oxide mainly stem from chemical reactions between delithiated cathodes and nonaqueous electrolytes at elevated temperature, which cause decomposition of cathode material and oxidation of electrolytes. The overdelithiated cathode would release oxygen from its lattice due to its high oxidability. Further, the electrolyte could react with oxygen to generate heat. If the heat generation and accumulation are more than its dissipation, a catastrophic failure of the cell will happen [81]. For the sake of designing safe cathode materials with high capacity, mechanisms of structure evolution, thermal stability and oxygen release in cathode materials during cycling should be thoroughly demonstrated. For example, some researchers investigated the structure evolution mechanism of NCM111 during cycling by in situ high-resolution synchrotron radiation diffraction and neutron powder diffraction. As shown in Fig. 3a and b, when the charge voltage is below 4.2 V, NCM111 maintains layered hexagonal phase H1 structure with slightly increased c and decreased *a* lattice parameters. When the voltage region is from 4.2 to 4.4 V, a new hexagonal phase H2 is detected and gradually intensified. When it is charged to a high voltage above 4.6 V, irreversible structure change appears from the

original layered structure phase to a layered hexagonal phase H3 and a cubic spinel phase. After full lithiation, lattice parameters do not go back to its original ones, indicative of an irreversible structure evolution after charging to high voltage [82].

Besides structure degradation of cathode materials, the parasitic reaction arising from interactions between electrolytes and highly reactive delithiated cathodes is also one main reason of failure for Ni-rich cathodes during cycling above 4.2 V. Chen et al. [83] developed an in situ highenergy X-ray diffraction (HEXRD) technique as an alternative to differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and used it to investigate the chemical reactions between electrode materials and electrolytes during thermal ramping. Figure 3c-e shows the in situ XRD patterns of pure delithiated $Li_{1,1}(Ni_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}Co_{1/3})_{0,9}O_2$ (NMC), the delithiated cathode in the presence of EC/EMC (3:7, by weight) and mixed delithiated NMC with an equivalent amount of 1.2 M LiPF₆ in EC/EMC (3:7, in weight ratios) during thermal ramping. Compared to pure NMC, the mixed one in the presence of electrolytes decomposed much earlier with the onset temperature reducing from 278 to 197 °C. This result indicates that the thermal decomposition pathway of delithiated cathode materials, $Li_{1-x}(Ni_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}Co_{1/3})_{0.9}O_2$, strongly depends on the exposed chemical environment, revealing the necessity of precise surface design.

In the microlevel, Ni-rich cathode materials consist of some secondary micrometer particles, which is aggregated by primary micrometer particles. The microstructural evolution of the single Li(Ni_{0.8}Co_{0.15}Al_{0.05})O₂ particle during electrochemical cycling was further demonstrated by using in situ electron microscopy (Fig. 3f, g). Compared with the



Fig. 3 In situ/operando techniques for the in-depth understanding of LIBs. **a** In situ synchrotron radiation powder diffraction (SRD) of the NCM111 electrode: the contour plot of reflection evolution of 003, 101, 104, 018 and 110 during the first delithiation–lithiation. **b** Lattice parameter changes of NCM111 during the first charge–discharge process. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [82]. Copyright 2019, The Electrochemical Society. In situ HEXRD patterns of delithiated Li_{1-x}(Ni_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}Co_{1/3})_{0.9}O₂ during thermal abuse for **c** the dry delithiated cathode and **d** the delithiated cathode with the presence of EC/EMC (3:7, in weight ratios). **e** The delithiated cathode with the presence of 1.2 M LiPF₆ in EC/EMC (3:7, in weight ratios). Reproduced with permission from Ref. [83]. Copyright 2013, Wiley–

VCH. In situ SEM image for showing the progression of particle fracture and fragmentation during cycles of **f** the as-prepared sample and **g** the sample after three complete charge/discharge cycles. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [84]. Copyright 2013, Wiley-VCH. **h** Changes in secondary particle volume from in situ light microscopy for (left) NCM111 and (right) NCM811 cathodes cycled against metallic lithium anodes. Only the second cycle is shown for clarity. Shaded areas represent the error margin. The corresponding voltage profiles and the evolution of the lithium content [*x*(Li)] with cycling time are shown as well. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [85]. Copyright 2017, American Chemical Society

as-prepared particles, the particles after three cycles showed obvious cracks, which allow penetration of electrolytes between primary particles. The above result suggests that loss of grain-to-grain connectivity between particles would result in capacity fading and performance degradation [84]. Furthermore, more exposed cathode surface during cycling will intensify reactions between cathodes and electrolytes, which would aggravate capacity fade.

The generation of cracks between micrometer-sized secondary particles is due to anisotropic lattice change of primary nanoparticles during repeated Li⁺ insertion/extraction [85]. Kondrakov et al. [85] compared volumetric changes between NCM111 and NCM811 during cycling from 3.0 to 4.3 V versus Li/Li⁺ via in situ XRD, which were 1.2% and 5.1%, respectively (Fig. 3h). The Ni-rich cathode with a higher Ni content will utilize more lithium than a lower one, which means a deeper delithiated state. Volume change of NCM811 secondary particles (7.8% ± 1.5%) is even larger than that of NCM111 (3.3% ±2.4%), which could be attributed to obvious decrease in the interlayer lattice parameter *c* from 14.467 to 14.030 Å.

Above all, with the aid of advanced characterization techniques, the reasons, for structure evolution as well as side reaction between the electrolytes and the primary particles of cathode materials, have been deeply understood in the microlevel, which would guide us to design safe cathodes.

4.1.2 Cathode Material Design for Safe LIBs

As mentioned above, overlithiated cathodes will lead to irreversible structure change to nonelectrochemically active structure, large volumetric expansion and oxygen release during cycling. To solve these problems, many efforts have been made by controlling cation mixing [86], doping [87], surface coating [88], constructing core–shell structure and designing concentration-gradient composition with a decreasing Ni content and an increasing Mn content from the inner part to the outer part of cathode particles [89].

Doping Introducing extrinsic cations or anions into layered structure has proved to be an effective way to address structural instability of Ni-rich cathode materials. In general, doping in cathode materials can suppress the order–disorder transition by preventing Ni²⁺ ions migration to the undesired Li slab during cycling, stabilize structure and avoid oxygen release by increasing the bonding strength between anions and transition-metal ions. The effective dopant ions include Al, Mg, Zr, Ti, Ga and F [87]. Kam et al. [90] used Ti⁴⁺ to partially substitute Co³⁺ at transition-metal sites. The valence difference between Ti⁴⁺ and Co³⁺ is compensated by the reduction of Mn from +4 to +3 to stabilize the layered structure. Ti⁴⁺ substitution can prevent phase change during cycling by restricting Ni migration to the Li slab, which is helpful to improve structural stability even with cycling to high voltage. With increasing the amount of cation dopants in transition layered structure, the specific capacity of the cathode material will generally decrease due to inert properties of the dopants. Thus, an ideal dopant would largely improve the structure stability but at the same time not lower specific capacity with a small amount of doping. The Albased dopant is a good example. The cathode material with less than 5% Al doping almost remains unchanged specific capacity as compared with the original one [91].

For anion doping, F-doping has been considered as a promising approach for stabilizing the layered structure and optimizing cycle stability, since F is the most electronegative element and can form strong bonding with transition metals in the layered cathodes [92]. With the partial replacement of oxygen-metal bonds by the fluorine-metal bond, the initial Columbic efficiency, cycle performance and voltage degradation have been effectively improved, for example, in Li($Li_{0.133}Mn_{0.467}Ni_{0.2}Co_{0.2})O_{1.95}F_{0.05}$ (LMR). Additionally, the structure stability of LMR is improved upon heating, which is confirmed by in situ X-ray diffraction [93].

Surface/structure modification During charge/discharge, side reactions between cathodes and electrolytes would be accelerated by reactive Ni⁴⁺ and Co⁴⁺ ions, which will deteriorate the cathode surface and make the cathode electrolyte interface (CEI) become thicker. Surface/structure modification is effective to solve the above problem of cathodes. To date, three typical methods have been widely used to achieve high-capacity Ni-rich cathodes, which are summarized in Table 4, including surface coating, core–shell and concentration-gradient cathode fabrications [89]. In the following section, recent advances are discussed in detail by describing the representative examples.

(1) Surface coating Surface coating is an effective way to suppress the side reactions between cathode materials and electrolytes without sacrificing capacity. The surface coating layer could act as a physical protection barrier to reduce side reactions between electrolytes and active cathode materials, especially at highly lithiated states. Additionally, the coating layer could serve as the scavenger of hydrogen fluoride (HF). Without the proper coating, HF will attack the cathode surface, making the transition-metal ions dissolved into electrolytes. Taking the TiO₂ coating layer as an example, as metal fluorides present much lower Gibbs formation energy than the corresponding metal oxides, acidic HF will react with TiO₂ as TiO₂ + 4HF \rightarrow TiF₄ + 2H₂O. The formed TiF₄ layer will further prevent the surface from being attacked by the acidity of electrolyte. Furthermore, the coating layer could help to suppress the phase transition of cathodes from a layer structure to a rock-salt phase during lithiation/delithiation.

Recently, many efforts have been focused on constructing a coating layer. The layers of TiO_2 [94, 95], Al_2O_3 [96], MgO [97] and ZrO_2 [98] are generally much stable but exhibit low

Methods	Key points	Advantages	Disadvantages	Safety comparison
Surface coating	Chemical and electrochemical stabil- ity of surface layers Uniformly coating for effective pas- sive physical protection Ultra-thin for fast Li ⁺ conductivity Good mechanical stability	Easy manipulation Low costs Variety (the choice of coating materi- als)	Hard to obtain uniform coating with proper thickness Poor rate capability Limited improvement for both cycling and safety performance	Good
Core-shell cathode	Electrochemical active of shell materials High thermal stability of shell materi- als Well compatibility between core and shell materials	Uniform encapsulation with high thermal stability shell materials Shell materials provide additional capacity Improved cycling and thermal stabil- ity	Incompatible volume change between cores and shells Complex production processes	Excellent
Concentration-gra- dient cathode	Precisely controlling of the concen- tration-gradient shell	Well combination of thermal stability and high capacity Improved cycling and thermal stabil- ity	Difficult to manipulate High costs	Excellent

Table 4 A summary of surface/structure modification methods for Ni-rich cathodes [89]

Li-ion conductivity. The thickness and homogeneity of the coating layer is vital to balance stability and rate capability. One of the promising techniques for achieving uniform thin coating layers on cathode materials is atomic layer deposition (ALD), which features low growth temperature, atomic-scale/ stoichiometric deposition and excellent uniformity [99]. Xie et al. took advantage of ALD to construct a uniform, thin, stable and Li-ion conductive LiAlF4 interfacial coating layer on an NCM811 electrode and obtained improved stability and comparable rate performance. Zhang et al. employed ALD to coat the layered oxide cathode with Al₂O₃ and significantly improved the cycle ability of cathodes. Systematic STEM atomic-level imaging and nanoscale chemical composition analysis reveals that uniform Al₂O₃ coating acts as a physical barrier between cathodes and electrolytes, prevents surface structure transformation and suppresses Mn dissolution in the electrolyte [100]. However, the ALD method is not efficient enough for large-scale production.

Among the coating materials, inorganic species have different kinds of crystal structure as compared with transition layered oxides, which makes them difficult to realize uniform coating. Further, the adhesion between coating materials and cathodes is relatively weak. During repeat cycling, the coating layer might detach from cathode particles. At this point, organic conductive polymers are attractive since they possess better elasticity, which can buffer the volume change of cathodes during cycling. However, polymers show poor thermal stability and good porosity, which will decrease the thermal stability of cathodes. A combination of organic conductive polymers and inorganic materials might be a good choice.

(2) Core-shell cathode Compared with doping and coating with inert materials, coated materials with electrochemically active and fast Li⁺ insertion/delithiation properties are used, which would not sacrifice the high capacity of Ni-rich cathode. As shown in Fig. 4a, b, transition layered oxides with a higher Ni content show worse thermal stability [101]. In order to effectively increase thermal stability and maintain high capacity at the same time, constructing "core-shell" structured materials with a higher Mn content in the shell and a higher Ni content in the core, is proven effective (Fig. 4c) [102]. For example, a material with a $Li(Ni_{0.8}Co_{0.2})$ O₂ Ni-rich core encapsulated by a 1-µm-thick Mn-rich $Li(Ni_{0.5}Mn_{0.5})O_2$ shell was prepared (Fig. 4d) [103]. The Mn-rich shell shows good thermal and structural stability, while the Ni-rich core contributes to high specific capacity. The primary exothermic temperature of $Li_{1-\delta}(Ni_{0.5}Mn_{0.5})O_2$ began at approximately 300 °C [104], which is about 100 °C higher than that of $\text{Li}_{1-\delta}(\text{Ni}_{0.8}\text{Co}_{0.2})\text{O}_2$. The core material delivers a capacity of 220 mAh g^{-1} , much higher than that of the shell material (140 mAh g^{-1}). Compared with pure $Li(Ni_{0.8}Co_{0.2})O_2$ (Fig. 4e), the core-shell $Li[(Ni_{0.8}Co_{0.2})_0]$ $_{8}(Ni_{0.5}Mn_{0.5})_{0.2}]O_{2}$ material exhibits improved thermal stability. The pouch cell with core-shell Li[(Ni_{0.8}Co_{0.2})_{0.8}(Ni_{0.5} $Mn_{0.5})_{0.2}$]O₂ as the cathode shows excellent nail penetration performance [103]. Sun et al. further explored the effect of shell thickness on the thermal stability based on core-shell $Li[(Ni_{0.8}Co_{0.1}Mn_{0.1})_{1-x}(Ni_{0.5}Mn_{0.5})_x]O_2$ particles. With the increasing thickness of the shell layer, the thermal stability of the core-shell material is enhanced (Fig. 4f) [105]. However, due to the mismatch of the crystal structure of shell and core materials, volume change during repeated charge/ discharge is different, which will create voids between cores and shells, even leading to primary partial cracks. The voids between the core and the shell may hinder the Li-ion migration, and the cracks will make the fresh surface being exposed to the electrolyte, causing severe side



Fig. 4 a A map of the relationship between discharge capacity, thermal stability and capacity retention. **b** The DSC data of Ni-rich materials charged to 4.3 V. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [101]. Copyright 2015, Wiley-VCH. **c** Schematic drawings of Ni-rich core and Mn-rich shell structure. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [102]. Copyright 2016, Wiley-VCH. **d** SEM images of core–shell Li[$(Ni_{0.8}Co_{0.2})_{0.8}(Ni_{0.5}Mn_{0.5})_{0.2}]O_2$ powders after 150 cycles. **e** Voltage versus cell surface temperature plots in the Li-ion cells of core–shell C/Li[$(Ni_{0.8}Co_{0.2})_{0.8}(Ni_{0.5}Mn_{0.5})_{0.2}]O_2$ cells during nail penetration tests at 4.3 V. Insets show the Li-ion cell images of spherical C/core–shell Li[$(Ni_{0.8}Co_{0.2})_{0.8}(Ni_{0.5}Mn_{0.5})_{0.2}]O_2$ cells after nail penetration at 4.3 V. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [103]. Copyright 2006, American Chemical Society. **f** DSC profiles of Li[$(Ni_{0.8}Co_{0.1}Mn_{0.1})$

reaction. And the low-capacity shell materials will decrease the whole capacity.

(3) Concentration-gradient cathode In order to eliminate the large difference between cores and shells, concentrationgradient structure is introduced to further enhance the capacity of cathode materials. With chemical composition continuously varying from the core/shell interface to the outermost surface of the shell, the structural inconsistency of the interface is eliminated. A full concentration-gradient (FCG) cathode, in which the concentration of the main species is fully gradient changeable, is developed for ideal performance. Unlike

 $)_{1-x}(Ni_{0.5}Mn_{0.5})_x]O_2$ (x=0-1) electrodes charged to 4.5 V versus Li. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [105]. Copyright 2006, American Chemical Society. **g** Schematic diagram of the FCG lithium transition-metal-oxide particle with the Ni concentration decreasing from the center toward the outer layer and the concentration of Mn increasing accordingly. **h** EPMA line scan of the integrated atomic ratio of transition metals as a function of the distance from the particle center to the surface for the lithiated FCG material. **i** DSC profiles of the delithiated FCG material, the delithiated Li_{1-x}Ni_{0.86}Co_{0.10}Mn_{0.04}O₂ and the delithiated Li_{1-x}Ni_{0.7}Co_{0.1}Mn_{0.2}O₂ with a scanning rate of 1 °C min⁻¹. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [106]. Copyright 2012, Nature Publishing Group

the core–shell materials with concentration gradients, the FCG cathode shows more flexibility in adjusting gradients (Fig. 4g). A pioneering work was reported by Amine et al. [106] on a Ni-rich layered oxide with a nominal composition of LiNi_{0.75}Co_{0.10}Mn_{0.15}O₂. As shown in Fig. 4h, the concentrations of Ni and Mn vary continuously from central to surface areas within a primary particle of ~5 μ m, with LiNi_{0.9}Co_{0.1}O₂ in the core and LiNi_{0.7}Co_{0.1}Mn_{0.2}O₂ on the surface. Due to a large distance for gradient change, the structural inconsistency is minimized. This advanced FCG material delivers a specific capacity up to 215 mAh g⁻¹ with outstanding cycling stability

in a full-cell configuration and maintains 90% capacity even after 1000 cycles. These results reveal that the chemical compositions of outer shells play a vital role in both electrochemical performance and thermal stability of Ni-rich materials (Fig. 4i). Sun et al. recently designed a Ni-rich concentration-gradient Li(Ni_{0.865}Co_{0.12}Al_{0.015})O₂ (NCA) cathode, with a Ni-rich core and a Co-rich particle surface. With a much more stable surface shell, this gradient NCA cathode showed improved high-temperature cycle performance and maintained 90% of its initial capacity after 100 cycles at 60 °C and excellent thermal stability. The Co-rich surface provides a physical barrier between Ni-rich core materials and electrolytes. With the concentration-gradient shell, the inherent internal strain is largely reduced, ensuring a stable particle during lifetime [107]. The concentration-gradient-type materials are promising for practical application, but they still face many challenges such as controlling coverage and the consistent gradient of batches when extending to a 1000-fold and even larger scale.

Design better particles The layered oxide materials are commonly prepared by the co-precipitation method with round-shape primary particles randomly aggregating into large secondary particles. Anisotropic lattice volume expansion or contraction between the primary particles during cycling will result in microcracks, and electrolytes will penetrate into microcracks, causing severe side reaction.

Another way to eliminate cracks in the secondary particles is directly using single-crystal cathodes. Dahn et al. compared single-crystal and polycrystalline NCM523-positive electrode materials for high-voltage LIBs. The results show that single-crystal materials yield to longer lifetime for LIBs at both 40 and 55 °C when tested at an upper cutoff potential of 4.4 V. The reasons for superior performance of the single-crystal-based cells were explored by using thermogravimetric analysis/mass spectrometry experiments on the charged electrode materials, showing that single-crystal materials are extremely resistant to oxygen loss below 100 °C compared with the polycrystalline materials [108].

Kim et al. [109] reported a new concept to overcome the crack problem in the secondary particles of Ni-rich cathode materials via the nanoscaled surface treatment of the primary particles. As presented in the schematic diagram (Fig. 5a), NCM622 cathode materials with the surface treatment of its primary particles were prepared with the solution of lithium acetate and cobalt acetate (final products marked as ST-NCM). The surface of ST-NCM primary particles consists of the cation-mixing layer as a pillar layer, which effectively improves the structural stability and electrochemical performance of the cathode by suppressing the microcracks in the particles during cycling. As shown in Fig. 5b, c, compared with bare materials, the ST-NCM second particles remain tightly bound after 150 cycles. On the contrary, the untreated cathode materials appear obvious microcracks. Moreover, due to the cation-mixing layer on the surface with strong Mn–O bonding, oxygen release would be prevented at elevated temperatures in ST-NCM. Such a creative treating method of primary particles provides us a way to improve both the electrochemical properties and thermal stability of cathodes.

Sun et al. [110] synthesized micrometer-sized Ni-rich NCM811 secondary cathode materials consisting of radially aligned single-crystal primary particles to address the issue of particle cracks during cycles (Fig. 5d). The aligned single-crystal secondary particles packed tightly (Fig. 5e), achieving coordinated charge-discharge volume change, which could alleviate the volume change-induced intergrain stress. This material with unique structure exhibits excellent cycling performance without any degradation after 300 cycles. The primary particles maintain origin shapes without obvious cracks (Fig. 5f). Sun et al. [111] applied this method into concentration-gradient-type cathodes with Ni concentration decreasing linearly and Co concentration increasing from the center to the outer surfaces (Fig. 5g). The primary particles are composed of the rod-shaped secondary particles of ~ $2.5 \,\mu m$ grown in the radial direction (Fig. 5h). This cathode is paired with mesocarbon microbead graphite to assemble into an aluminum pouch-type full cell (~35 mAh). The full cell shows excellent cycle performance and maintains 70.3% capacity after 1000 cycles even at 55 °C (Fig. 5i). Such good cycle performance is mainly attributed to the stable surface and well-combined second particles, which can reduce the internal stress.

4.2 Anode Materials

Based on the lithiation/delithiation mechanism, anode materials can be classified into three types. (1) Intercalation anodes include carbon-based materials and lithium titanium oxide (LTO), in which lithium ions are inserted into crystals with low volume expansion [112, 113]. Graphite has been commercially used as LIB anode for about 20 years due to its low costs, high electrical conductivity and low-potential plateau [112]. LTO has also been used in LIB markets for its high rate charge/discharge and long cycle life [114]. However, the shortcomings of LTO are its high lithiation/delithiation plateau (≈ 1.55 V vs. Li/Li⁺) and low specific capacity (175 mAh g^{-1}) [113]. (2) Conversion reaction anodes consist of MX, where M would be transition metals such as Fe, Co and Cu, and X may be O, F or even H. Hence, MX could be compounds like Fe₂O₃, Co₂O₃ and CuO. During charge/ discharge, these materials show higher specific capacity than intercalation anodes. However, their poor electronic conductivity may result in large irreversible capacity and voltage hysteresis and low rate performance [115]. (3) Alloyingtype anode materials mainly refer to the IV and V groups, including Si, Ge, Sn, Sb metal and metal oxides, sulfides or phosphides. The alloying-type anodes could theoretically alloy with $x \operatorname{Li}^+$ to be Li_xM and offer discharge capacity



Fig. 5 a Schematic diagram of a coating method for alleviating the surface degradation of $\text{LiNi}_{0.6}\text{Co}_{0.2}\text{Mn}_{0.2}\text{O}_2$ cathode materials and the comparison of particle cross sections. **b** Before and **c** after 150 cycles before and after the modification. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [109]. Copyright 2015, American Chemical Society. **d** Schematic illustration of the structure of radially aligned primary particles. SEM images of radially aligned primary particles. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [110]. Copyright 2019, Wiley-VCH. **g** Integrated atomic ratio of transition metals

almost four times higher than that of graphite. However, the huge volume change (> 300%) during alloying and dealloying would result in serious pulverization and poor cycle life, which severely restricts their practical application [116].

as a function of the distance from the center of the particle for the lithiated FCG–Mn–F material. **h** TEM image, along with EDS data along the single elongated FCG–Mn–F primary particle. **i** Cycling performance of the laminated-type Al-pouch cell (35 mAh) by using mesocarbon microbead (MCMB) graphite as the anode and FCG–Mn–F as the cathode at a rate of 1 C, corresponding to 200 mA g⁻¹ (an upper cutoff voltage of 4.4 V). Reproduced with permission from Ref. [111]. Copyright 2013, American Chemical Society

Although alternative anode materials such as the conversion type and the alloying type have been explored for high energy density, many researchers focus on how to improve reversible capacity, effectively alleviate large volume expansion and control capacity fading [117]. Hence, the safety issues of these materials have not received much concern [118]. Therefore, we only concentrate on the safety problems of the commercial graphite anode in this review.

4.2.1 Failure Mechanism of Anodes

As shown in Fig. 6 [119], during the initial charging process of a fresh LIB in the carbonate-based nonaqueous electrolyte, the SEI is firstly formed in a potential window range of 0.6-1.3 V versus Li/Li⁺ in the anode side [120]. It is well known that the conventional SEI is mainly composed of stable inorganic components (such as LiF, Li₂O and Li₂CO₃) and some metastable organic components [such as polymers, ROCO₂Li, (CH₂OCO₂Li)₂ and ROLi] [121]. Li ions intercalate into graphite in the range of 0.065-0.2 V over charging. As the potential is below 0 V, Li ions would deposit on graphite and grow to Li dendrites on the anode surface, which may impale separators and hence lead to short circuit and heat generation [122]. Generally, the SEI film is relatively stable, which can permeate Li⁺ to react with graphite. However, during operation, under some abuse conditions such as excessive currents, overcharging, internal/external short circuiting or high temperature, LIBs would be ignited to generate heat. Some researches show that SEIs could decompose at a relatively low temperature of 69 °C [123], and the metastable organic components could exothermically decompose at 90–120 °C to some gases like carbon dioxide and ethylene [124]:

$$(CH_2OCO_2Li)_2 \rightarrow Li_2CO_3 + C_2H_4 + CO_2 + 0.5O_2$$
 (3a)

$$2\text{Li} + (\text{CH}_2\text{OCO}_2\text{Li})_2 \rightarrow 2\text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3 + \text{C}_2\text{H}_4$$
(3b)

As shown in Fig. 6, if the SEI layer is broken down, lithiated graphite anodes would exothermally react with electrolytes, which would further increase system temperature. If the intercalated lithium is exposed to electrolytes, it could react with carbonate-based organic solvents at about 100 °C [64, 125]:



Fig. 6 Illustration of aging effects on graphite anodes. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [119]. Copyright 2013, Elsevier $2\text{Li} + \text{C}_3\text{H}_4\text{O}_3(\text{EC}) \rightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3 + \text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ (4a)

$$2\text{Li} + \text{C}_4\text{H}_6\text{O}_3(\text{PC}) \rightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3 + \text{C}_3\text{H}_6 \tag{4b}$$

$$2\text{Li} + \text{C}_3\text{H}_6\text{O}_3(\text{DMC}) \rightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3 + \text{C}_2\text{H}_4$$
(4c)

The releasing flammable hydrocarbon gases, such as ethane and methane, would yield a pressure inside the cell. What's more, they will burn if the cathode releases oxygen and temperature provides suitable conditions, which is a huge risk for LIBs. Above all, side reactions at the graphite/ electrolyte interface as well as the poor thermal stability of lithiated graphite and SEIs have been regarded as the main sources for safety issues of anodes.

4.2.2 Anode Modification for Safe Batteries

According to the failure mechanism of the anode, the thermal stability of SEI plays an important role in battery safety and how to improve it is a critical way to enhance LIBs safety. The alternative method is mainly focused on forming a stable artificial SEI layer with high thermal stability to protect lithiated graphite from being directly exposed to the electrolyte. As shown in Fig. 7a, compared with the rapid capacity decay of natural graphite, the graphite anode coated with Al₂O₃, prepared by Jung et al. [126] via ALD, showed excellent cycling stability with 98% capacity retention after 200 cycles, indicating that the artificial SEI of Al₂O₃ can effectively protect lithiated graphite from undesirable reactions. Additionally, as shown in Fig. 7b, DSC results indicate that the SEI film formed on NG anodes coated with Al₂O₃ is stabler than that without Al₂O₃. Cui et al. [127] reported that a thermoresponsive polymer switching (TRPS), consisting of graphene-coated spiky nickel nanoparticles mixed in a polymer composite, exhibited a high thermal expansion coefficient (σ). It could be used as a current collector coating layer in the anode (Cu). In Fig. 7c, d, the safe batteries with such TRPS materials show excellent battery performance at normal temperature and they can shut down rapidly under



Fig. 7 a Cycling properties at 50 °C of NG electrodes with and without ALD coated. **b** DSC curves of fully lithiated graphite electrodes with (the solid line) and without ALD coated (the dotted line). Reproduced with permission from Ref. [126]. Copyright 2010, Wiley-VCH. **c** COMSOL simulation of two-dimensional temperature profiles on

the cross section of normal and safe batteries after shorting for 30 s. **d** COMSOL simulation of battery temperature dependence on shorting time. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [127]. Copyright 2016, Nature Publishing Group

abnormal conditions like overheating and shorting due to the excellent mechanical flexibility, high room-temperature σ and large operating voltage windows. Another approach to build thermally stable artificial SEIs is to add functional electrolyte additives, which can modify the surface and formation process of SEIs. The SEI supporting additives will be introduced in the part of safer electrolyte design. For the reduction additives [128], their reductive potentials are higher than electrolyte solvents, so the additives would be reduced to form an insoluble solid layer before the reduction of solvents. Therefore, they could reduce gases generation and improve the thermal stability of SEIs. However, the artificial SEI film would sacrifice the theoretical specific capacity of anode materials. Thus, it is necessary to develop some active artificial SEI layers in the future.

transport between two electrodes during battery running. Except the demand for wettability and porous properties, the desired membrane should have high chemical/electrochemical stability, high thermal stability and excellent mechanical properties to ensure building a safe and reliable LIB.

Generally, the common separators for LIBs are polyolefin membranes such as polyethylene (PE) and polypropylene (PP), but they would be melted down at the temperatures of 130 and 170 °C, respectively [129]. During the melting process, the micropores of separators will be destroyed, which will isolate the pathway of Li ions between the electrodes and drastically increase the internal resistance of LIBs [130]. If the separator is melted, the internal short circuit will occur, which may lead to a large amount of heat generation and trigger the thermal runaways [129, 131].

4.3 Separator Materials

In LIBs, the separator is a critical component with the function of preventing electronic contact and ensuring Li-ion

4.3.1 Modification of Traditional Polyolefin Membranes

By taking advantages of different melting points of PE and PP, a modified polyolefin membrane with self-shut

Fig. 8 a Closing pore processes and shutdown behaviors of PP/ PE/PP separators. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [148]. Copyright 2015, Elsevier. b The grafted mechanism of TiO₂-modified PE separators with strong chemical bonds. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [140]. Copyright 2016, Elsevier. c The thermal behavior of PI/PE separators. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [148]. Copyright 2015, Elsevier. d Photograph, voltage and temperature profiles of cells assembled with PIC separators after a penetration test. e Photograph, voltage and temperature profiles of cells assembled with PE separators after a penetration test. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [149]. Copyright 2018,



Elsevier

capability was developed [92]. As shown in Fig. 8a, a trilayer structured PP/PE/PP separator is constructed, in which PP layers with higher melting points serve as the frame to prevent separator collapse, while the middle PE layer plays the role of shutting down the agent. However, this kind of separators may still fail if the temperature continues to increase above the melting point of PP. Further improving the thermal stability of polyolefin membranes is desirable. One effective way is to coat a layer of other polymers with high temperature and voltage tolerance. Roh et al. [132] improved the thermal stability of PE separators by coating a layer of macroporous polyarylate (PAR) via the physical method. The melting temperature of the modified separator is enhanced up to 194 °C [132]. However, the physical bond is so weak that the coated layer may peel off during cycling [133]. To overcome the above issue, dopamine was used to modify polyolefin membranes due to its strong self-polymerization and high hydrophilicity [133–135]. For example, Hu et al. [133] used dopamine as a mediator to achieve tightly coating aramid nanofiber (ANF) on PP separators and obtained stable cycle performance and improved thermal stability. Besides that, other polymerizable monomers were grafted to polyolefin separator with chemical bonds by UV, electron beams and γ -ray irradiation [136–138]. Lee et al. [139] prepared PE membranes grafted with siloxane by electron beam irradiation technique. Besides excellent cycle performance and improved thermal stability, the grafted PE separator shows enhanced electrochemical stability. What's more, it could remain stable up to 5.2 V, which is helpful to design high-voltage LIBs and to improve overcharge endurance [139].

Besides polymers, ceramic materials with high thermal stability and excellent mechanical properties, such as aluminum oxide (Al_2O_3) , titanium dioxide (TiO_2) and silicon dioxide (SiO_2) , have also been applied to coat polyolefin membranes [138, 140]. In order to achieve better coating effect, polymer binders are usually used as mediators to coat ceramic materials onto the membranes [141], which have a crucial effect on the final performance of the modified membrane. Lee et al. [142] found that Al₂O₃/poly(vinylidene fluoride-co-hexafluoropropylene) (PVDF-HFP) composites would reduce the thermal stability of PP separators though the coating agent Al₂O₃ is thermally stable. When the temperature rises to about 140 °C, the melting of the PVDF-HFP binder makes the Al₂O₃ particles agglomeration, which produces a strong driving force for the shrinkage of PP membranes. On the contrary, polyimide (PI) P84 [142] and phenolphthalein polyetherketone (PEK) [143] with higher thermal stability are proven as better choices. However, the traditional coating method is easy to obtain thick membranes due to excessive polymer binders, which would block the pores of separators and hence increase the cell internal resistance [140]. To decrease the interfacial resistance of separators and enhance cycle stability, binderfree coating methods have been proposed, such as grafting [140, 144, 145], ALD and magnetron sputtering deposition (MSD) [146, 147]. Zhu et al. [140, 144] developed novel SiO₂-grafted PE separators and TiO₂-grafted PE separators by electron beams. Figure 8b shows the mechanisms of preparing TiO₂-grafted PE separators; electron beam irradiation is used to create defects, which accelerate uniform coating of TiO_2 [140]. The chemical bonds between coating materials and separators are the critical factor for improving stability of TiO₂-grafted PE separators [140]. Chen et al. [146] and Peng et al. [147] deposited ultrathin TiO₂ films on PP membranes by the ALD or MSD method. The modified PP separator could effectively avoid thermal shrinkage and poor wettability. However, the demand for special equipment and techniques makes chemical grafting difficult for large-scale application.

4.3.2 Novel Separators with High Thermal Stability

To overcome the congenital shortage of polyolefin membranes with poor thermal stability, new kinds of separators have been proposed.

The nonwoven separators based on high-heat-resistant polymer materials have been paid considerable attentions. For instance, poly(m-phenylene isophthalamide) (PMIA), polyimide (PI), poly(ethylene terephthalate) (PET) and poly(phthalazinone ether sulfone ketone) (PPESK) have extremely high thermal stability up to 250–500 °C [150], showing an obvious advantage over traditional polyolefin membranes. However, the intrinsic disadvantages of the large pore size and nonuniform pore distribution of nonwoven separators could result in serious self-discharge and lithium dendrites, which will hinder their extensive application in LIBs [151, 152]. Modifying nonwoven separators has been put forward, such as PVDF/PMIA/PVDF, PE/PI and PPESK/PVDF membranes [148, 153]. The excellent performance of PE/PI membrane is shown in Fig. 8c, as compared with PP/PE/PP separators [148]. Some inorganic particles, like Al₂O₃, SiO₂ and TiO₂, are also used to coat the nonwoven membrane for improving its electrochemical stability [152, 154, 155].

Cellulose paper-based separators are also promising because of their low production costs, good mechanical properties and high electrochemical stability [156, 157]. However, macro-/microfiber cellulose papers meet the intrinsic disadvantages of improper porous structure and poor mechanical/physicochemical properties [158]. Cladding is proposed as an effective way to overcome these disadvantages. Wang et al. [149] prepared paper-supported inorganic composite (PIC) membranes by spraying Al₂O₃ powders onto the surface of commercial paper substrates, which is helpful to improve the penetration test. As shown in Fig. 8d, during the test, the temperature of graphite/LiCoO₂ full cells with PIC separators slowly increases to 180 °C and the voltage remains 3.78 V [149]. Additionally, the cell did not get fire after the nail test. By contrast, graphite/LiCoO₂ full cells with PE separators reacted violently, and the temperature sharply increased to 329 °C, while the voltage dropped quickly down to 0 V (Fig. 8e) [149]. Furthermore, the PIC membrane exhibited high electrochemical stability with an oxidation decomposition voltage of 4.5 V (vs. Li⁺/Li), higher than 4.2 V of the PE [149].

Employing inorganic separator materials with "absolutely" thermal stability and strong electrolyte wettability is another good way. Pure inorganic separators composed of Al₂O₃ and SiO₂ show extremely high thermal stability up to 2054 and 1650 °C, respectively [159, 160]. Such separators also exhibit excellent electrochemical performance and strong compressive strength. But the poor flexibility and tensile strength may restrict their large-scale application [159]. To improve the tensile strength of inorganic separators, polymer binders are introduced although they will sacrifice some thermal performance. Raja et al. [161] prepared MgAl₂O₄-based ceramic membranes (PCMs) with PVDF-HFP as the binder. Although the thermal stability has been reduced by polymers, the PCM membrane with a low binder ratio could resist the temperature up to 350 °C [161]. Similarly, Zhang et al. [162] prepared Al₂O₃-based ceramic membranes with styrene-butadiene rubber (SBR) as the binder. Then, He et al. [163] developed a pure ceramic membrane composed of Al_2O_3 nanowires with impressive flexibility by a filtration procedure, which provides a new mentality on overcoming the fragility of pure inorganic membranes.

4.3.3 Functionalized Separators

Although the separator with high thermal stability and strong mechanical properties could greatly inhibit the occurrence of an internal short circuit, some special functions have been introduced into the separator for enhancing the safety of LIBs. Adding fire retardants into the electrolytes has proven as an effective way to suppress the combustion of LIBs [164]. But in most cases, the addictive fire retardant will result in the poor electrochemical performance of LIBs [165]. Incorporating fire-extinguishing agents into separators as an automatic fire-extinguishing device has been proposed. Yim et al. [165] put forward a novel separator modified by integrating temperature-responsive microcapsules with fire-extinguishing agents, which could realize the self-extinguishing capability of LIBs. They chose (1,1,1,2,2,3,4,5,5,5-decafluoro-3-methoxy-4-(trifluoromethyl)-pentane) (DMTP) as the extinguishing agent and PMMA as the temperature-responsive polymeric layer [165]. Figure 9a illustrates the extinguishing mechanism of this microcapsule modified separator. The subsequent standard nail penetration test shows that the temperature-responsive microcapsules could suppress the temperature change [165]. Liu et al. [166] developed novel separator integrating temperature-responsive microfibers



Fig.9 a Schematic for the coating of microcapsules on a PE separator by the PVDF-HFP binder. Once the temperature surpasses the melting point of the PMMA shell, the internal extinguishing agent DMTP will release into the electrolyte, suppressing the burning of the electrolytes. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [165]. Copyright

2015, American Chemical Society. **b** Structure of core-shell structure microfibers. Once thermal triggering, the TPP agent will release into the electrolyte, suppressing the ignition of electrolytes. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [166]. Copyright 2017, Wiley-VCH

with core-shell structure (Fig. 9b). They employed triphenyl phosphate (TPP) as the extinguishing agent (core) and PVDF-HFP as the temperature-responsive shell. If the temperature increases to the melting point of the temperatureresponsive shell, the encapsulated extinguishing agent is released into the electrolyte, effectively suppressing temperature rise [165, 166].

Some electroactive redox polymers, such as polypyrrole, polythiophene and polytriphenylamine, can be p-doped into an electronically conductive phase during oxidation and reversibly n-doped into the neutral isolating state over reduction [167, 168]. This characteristic provides a new strategy to prevent LIBs from overcharging by developing potential-sensitive separators, which can produce an internal conducting bypass for shunting the charge current at the overcharged state [168]. The common way to prepare potential-sensitive separators is to incorporate the electroactive redox polymers into the pores of separator membranes [168, 169]. Zhang et al. introduced poly(4-methoxytriphenylamine) (PMOTPA) to modify the commercial PE separator. The subsequent overcharge test shows that the voltage of the LFP/LTO full cell with this potential-sensitive separator could keep safe at about 2.6 V [168]. Li et al. [169] prepared a poly(3-decyl thiophene)-modified separator, which could maintain the voltage of LiFePO₄/C cells at a safe value below 4 V.

The reliability and safety problems caused by dissolved Mn cation in the electrolyte solution have received great attention for ternary oxide cathodes. Some experts proposed that modifying separators with specific chemical functionality is an effective way to shut down the migration path of Mn cations [170]. Ziv et al. proposed that polymeric materials functionalized with macrocycles or the alkali metal salts of organic acids could effectively trap the Mn cation [170–173]. The separators modified by poly(vinylbenzo-18-crown-6) (PVB-18C6) [170] and poly(divinylbenzene-(vinylbenzyl-aza-15-crown-5)-vinylbenzylchloride)) (PVB-A15C5) [172] ethers, as well as the dilithium salt of poly(ethylene-alternate-maleic acid) (PE-alt-MaLi₂) [171], show an brilliant ability to chelate Mn species. Compared with the formers, PE-alt-MaLi₂ exhibits better functionality [171]. It is reported that the amount of Mn on the graphite anode could be reduced by over 80% in the cells with the functional separator [171].

4.4 Electrolyte Materials

The electrolytes serve as a medium for ion charge transfer. Although nonaqueous organic electrolytes including carbonate solvents and lithium hexafluorophosphate (LiPF₆) have been used for decades since the invention of LIBs [174], they are essentially not safe. The instability of electrolytes origins from both solvents and lithium salt.

Presently, as shown in Table 5 [175], cyclic carbonates (e.g., EC, PC) are commonly used as electrolyte solvents, which possess high dielectric constants to ensure high-concentration lithium salts dissociation capability. However, their high melting points and high viscosity will hinder ion transportation during the storage process. In order to satisfy practical application, linear carbonates (e.g., DMC, DEC and EMC) with low viscosity are mixed with cyclic carbonates to become composite electrolytes with proper chemical/electrochemical stability and good interfacial properties [176]. To be mentioned, cyclic ethylene carbonate (EC) could electrochemically decompose at about 0.8 V versus Li/Li⁺ to form protective SEI films at the surface of

Table 5Physical andelectrochemical properties ofstate-of-the-art organic solventsfor LIBs and a concludingevaluation regarding theirsafety. Reproduced withpermission from Ref. [175].Copyright 2015, Wiley-VCH

Solvent	EC	PC	DMC	DEC	EMC
Structure					0
Dielectric constant	+	+	_	_	_
Viscosity	+	+	_	_	_
Volatility	_	_	+	+	+
Boiling temperature	+	+	_	_	_
Flash point	+	+	_	_	_
Melting temperature	+	_	0	_	_
Construction to SEIs	+	_	_	_	_
Anodic stability	+	+	0	0	0
Safety	+	0	_	_	_

+, High; o, medium; -, low

low-potential anodes [177]. Although the carbonates have been considered as the solvents with almost the best comprehensive properties, their low flash points make them easy to decompose to gases, which are inflammable and explosive [178]. Thus, constructing safer carbonate-based composite solvents and exploring innovative solvents would be important to design safe LIBs.

Another important component of electrolytes is the lithium salt that provides Li ions. At present, as shown in Table 6, the common lithium salts used in LIBs contain LiPF₆, lithium tetrafluoroborate (LiBF₄), lithium hexafluoroarsenate (LiAsF₆) and lithium perchlorate (LiClO₄). Among these salts, LiPF₆ is commercially used due to its good ionic conductivity and ability to passivate aluminum current collectors, but it is sensitive to a trace amount of water and would easily decompose to toxic and corrosive gases at high temperature [124]. LiAs F_6 seems to be an ideal candidate due to good electrochemical properties and thermal stability, but its toxicity limits its practical application [179]. The fluoride-free alternative salt, LiClO₄, exhibits comparable properties to LiPF₆, and it is not sensitive to moisture and has no toxic decomposition products; however, there is a severe risk of explosion due to the high oxidizability of ClO_4^- and interaction with organic compounds. Thus, researchers pay more attention to developing novel lithium salts such as lithium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl) imide (LiTFSI) [180], lithium bis(fluorosulfonyl) imide (LiFSI) [181, 182], lithium bis(oxalate)borate (LiBOB) [183], lithium difluoro(oxalate) borate (LiODFB) [184] and lithium bis(fluorosulfonyl) amide [185].

4.4.1 Failure Mechanism of Electrolytes

Nonaqueous electrolytes account for a high percentage of the whole battery weight in a LIB. Almost all of the chemical reactions in the battery are related to the electrolyte. The carbonate solvents are usually flammable, and lithium salts are unstable. When LIBs are under abuse conditions, they could be easily ignited to generate a lot of heat and cause thermal

Table 6 Physical and electrochemical properties of various frequentlyutilized lithium salts for LIBs and a concluding evaluation regardingtheir safety aspects. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [175].Copyright 2015, Wiley-VCH

Lithium salt	LiPF ₆	LiBF ₄	LiAsF ₆	LiClO ₄
Ionic conductivity	+	0	+	+
Electrochemical stability	+	0	+	+
Thermal stability	_	0	+	_
Stability toward moisture	_	0	0	0
Al current collector passivation	+	+	+	0
Nontoxicity	_	_	_	_
Safety	-	0	-	-

+, High; o, medium; -, low

runaways [120]. For the traditional graphite/LiCoO₂ battery, if the temperature reaches 69 °C, the SEI film on the anode surface would be destroyed and produce initial reaction heat, and then the exposed lithiated graphite will react with the organic solvent (EC) [186]:

$$2\text{Li} + \text{C}_3\text{H}_4\text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{Co}_3 + \text{C}_2\text{H}_4 \tag{5}$$

For $Li_{0.5}CoO_2$ cathode, $Li_{0.5}CoO_2$ will react with EC at 70 °C to generate water [187]:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Li}_{0.5}\text{CoO}_2 + 0.1\text{C}_3\text{H}_4\text{O}_3 \\ &\rightarrow 0.5\text{LiCoO}_2 + 0.5\text{CoO} + 0.3\text{CO}_2 + 0.2\text{H}_2\text{O} \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Kerr et al. [188] reported that the initial decomposition temperature of the electrolyte (LiPF₆/EC:DMC) is about 80 °C. Once decomposition happens, complicated reactions in electrolyte would be triggered as follows [114, 189, 190]:

The decomposition of LiPF₆:

$$\text{LiPF}_6 \leftrightarrow \text{LiF} + \text{PF}_5 \tag{7a}$$

$$PF_5 + H_2O \rightarrow PF_3O + 2HF \tag{7b}$$

The series of reactions between strong Lewis acid PF_5 and the organic solvent:

$$C_2H_5OCOOC_2H_5 + PF_5 \rightarrow C_2H_5OCOOPF_4 + HF + CH_2 = CH_2$$
(8a)

$$C_2H_5OCOOC_2H_5 + PF_5 \rightarrow C_2H_5OCOOPF_4 + C_2H_5F$$
(8b)

$$C_2H_5OCOOPF_4 \rightarrow PF_3O + CO_2 + C_2H_5F$$
(8c)

$$C_2H_5OCOOPF_4 \rightarrow PF_3O + CO_2 + C_2H_4 + HF$$
(8d)

$$C_2H_5OCOOPF_4 + HF \rightarrow PF_4OH + CO_2 + C_2H_5F$$
 (8e)

$$C_2H_5OH + CH_2 = CH_2 \rightarrow C_2H_5OC_2H_5$$
(8f)

The oxidation reactions of various organic solvents:

$$2.5 O_2 + C_3 H_4 O_3(EC) \to 3CO_2 + 2H_2 O$$
(9a)

$$6O_2 + C_5H_{10}O_3(DEC) \rightarrow 5CO_2 + 5H_2O$$
 (9b)

$$3O_2 + C_3H_6O_3(DMC) \rightarrow 3CO_2 + 3H_2O$$
 (9c)

$$4O_2 + C_4H_6O_3(PC) \rightarrow 4CO_2 + 3H_2O$$
 (9d)

$$O_2 + C_3 H_4 O_3(EC) \rightarrow 3CO + 2H_2 O \tag{9e}$$

$$3.5 O_2 + C_5 H_{10}O_3(DEC) \rightarrow 5CO + 5H_2O$$
 (9f)

 $1.5 O_2 + C_5 H_{10}O_3(DMC) \rightarrow 3CO + 3H_2O$ (9g)

$$2O_2 + C_4H_6O_3(PC) \rightarrow 4CO + 3H_2O \tag{9h}$$

Equation (7) presents the decomposition of $LiPF_6$ in $LiPF_6$ -based electrolytes; Eqs. (8) and (9) show further reactions between strong Lewis acid phosphorus pentafluoride (PF₅) and organic solvents or water; PF₅ may attack the electron lone pair of oxygen in the solvent molecule and then make it decompose. In the meantime, as shown in Eq. (9), the oxygen generated by cathodes would react with carbonate solvents to release CO2 or CO. Kawamura et al. [189] found that the heat of 1 M $LiPF_6$ was 375 J g^{-1} in 1:1 PC: DMC (or EC: DMC) and 515 J g^{-1} in 1:1 PC: DEC (or EC: DEC), and the exothermic peaks were located at 230 and 280 °C, respectively. The above reactions could produce flammable gases and generate large amounts of heat, which would cause the flatulence and leakage of LIBs. Once the electrolytes leak out and contact with air, the batteries would be fired and probably exploded.

With increasing requirements on safety for LIBs used in EVs, developing extraordinary electrolytes with good thermal stability and excellent electrochemical properties is desirable and urgent. On the basis of the failure mechanism of traditional electrolytes and advanced in situ characterization techniques, many researchers are focusing on designing novel electrolytes.

4.4.2 Novel Electrolytes for Safe LIBs

The improvement of electrolyte thermal stability is significantly important to the safety of LIBs. The above failure



Fig. 10 Approaches to design safer lithium-ion battery electrolytes

mechanisms reveal that the thermal stability of electrolytes is closely related to the components including organic carbonate solvents and lithium salts. Presently, many efforts have been devoted to designing stabler lithium salts, adding various functional additives for nonflammable electrolyte solvents and exploring nonflammable solvents, as shown in Fig. 10. In the following contents, detailed introduction and discussion will be presented.

5 Alternative Lithium Salts

LiPF₆ is commercially used as a lithium salt due to its good ionic conductivity and ability to passivate aluminum current collectors, but it is easily hydrolyzed and decomposed to toxic and corrosive gases at high temperature [175]. Seeking alternative lithium salts with complex anions or the modification of LiPF₆ would be effective for improving thermal stability.

Modification of LiPF₆ by partially substituting fluoride atoms to construct stabler LiPF₆ derivatives In order to solve the thermal instability of LiPF₆, some works suggested using hydrophobic and electron-withdrawing groups to substitute one or more fluorine atoms. For example, Schmidt et al. considered lithium fluoroalkyl phosphates $[LiPF_3(C_2F_5)_3, LiFAP]$ for LIBs, where three hydrophobic perfluorinated alkyl groups (-CF₂CF₃) replace three F atoms. Compared with LiPF₆, it showed superior stability to hydrolysis even in the presence of 1000 ppm water for 70 h, and its conductivity and specific capacity are better than LiPF₆ [191]. The existence of perfluorinated alkyl groups would increase the flashing point [192]. However, its high costs may hinder commercialization. Additionally, Xu et al. [193] investigated lithium tetrafluoro oxalato phosphate [LiPF₄(C_2O_4), LTFOP] as an alternative lithium salt, which also exhibits better thermal stability than LiPF₆, while its conductivity, electrochemical windows and cycling stability are similar to LiPF₆ after being stored at 65 °C. However, its increased surface impedance could affect the power capability of LIBs [194].

Novel lithium salts To improve the thermal stability and electrochemical properties of LIBs, some organic ligand-based anions [e.g., bis(oxalate)borate (BOB) and difluoro(oxalate)borate (DFOB)] and large complex anions [e.g., bis(fluorosulfonyl)imide (FSI) and bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (TFSI)] are also used to construct innovative salts.

As shown in Table 7, LiBOB has been reported as a promising candidate for LIBs due to its advantages like good thermal stability and effect on accelerating SEI formation [195]. However, its lower solubility and conductivity render the electrolyte with poor low-temperature properties and LIBs with low rate capability. Thus, it can

Table 7 Novel lithium salts LiDFOB LiTFSI Lithium salt LiPF₆ LiFAP LiBOB LiFSI as well as their physical and electrochemical properties. $T(^{\circ}C)$ 125 200 275 200 200 360 Reproduced with permission $C (\mathrm{mS \ cm^{-1}})$ 10.8 8.2 14.9 8.58 9.73 9 from Ref. [191, 197, 198]. Advantages LiPF₆ high conductivity, low cost, excellent cycling stability at room temperature Copyright 2001, Elsevier, LiFAP stable in water, excellent thermal stability, good cycling stability Copyright 2015, Royal Society LiBOB wide voltage windows, beneficial to the formation of SEI interfaces, good of Chemistry, and Copyright thermal stability, passivated aluminum current collectors 2011, the Electrochemical LiDFOB high solubility, beneficial to the formation of SEI and CEI interfaces, Society wide voltage windows, good cycle performance at high temperature LiFSI high conductivity, good compatibility with water, excellent cycle stability at high temperature LiTFSI high conductivity, high solubility, good thermal stability, good cycle stability at low voltage Disadvantages LiPF₆ sensitive to water, easy decomposition, bad cycling stability at high temperature LiFAP high cost LiBOB low solubility, low conductivity LiDFOB high cost LiFSI corroding aluminum current collectors LiTFSI corroding aluminum current collectors

T decomposition temperature, C electrical conductivity

be used as an additive rather than an individual lithium salt. Compared with LiBOB, LiDFOB, with one oxalate ring, presents comparable properties but better solubility. It is found that the LIB with the electrolyte containing 5% LiDFOB shows higher-capacity retention than that without LiDFOB [196]. In Table 7, other kinds of lithium salts containing sulfonyl groups such as LiTFSI and LiFSI also show great thermal stability, high conductivity and good solubility, but the most disadvantage is aluminum corrosion [197]. Therefore, we need to further develop lithium salts by designing extraordinary anions with high thermal stability and good electrochemical properties.

6 Various Functional Additives

The most flammable component in LIBs is organic solvents. Besides improving the thermal stability of lithium salts, the most straightforward way is to introduce functional additives to the solvents or thoroughly abandon the flammable solvents. Different kinds of additives have been reported. According to the functions, they can be divided into flame-retardant additives to reduce the burning risk [199], overcharge additives to suppress the continuous increase in overcharge voltage [200] and SEI supporting additives to accelerate formation of stable SEI films [201]. What's more, these additives show not only simple single function but also multiple synergistic effects.

1. Flame-retardant additives

Flame-retardant additives usually have high stability. Only about ten percent of addition can effectively decrease

flammability of the electrolytes. Based on the mechanisms to suppress combustion, they can be divided into chemical retardants and physical retardants. The former will terminate free radical linear reaction by capturing hydrogen radicals ($H \cdot \text{ or } \cdot OH$), while the later will dilute combustible components with flame-retardant vapor. According to flameretardant mechanisms and element types, the flame-retardant additives can be classified into the following four types:

Fluoride-retardant additives Owing to the fluoride atom with the highest electronegativity and low polarization, fluorinated solvents show higher oxidation stability, lower melting points and higher flash points. Thus, fluoride-retardant additives are commonly used to improve the thermal stability of LIB electrolytes by the physical mechanism. Additionally, they also possess low viscosity under low temperature [202]. As shown in Fig. 11a and b, Xia et al. prepared F-electrolytes using 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoroisopropyl methyl ether (HFPM) as fluorinated solvents, which show good wettability and nonflammability. Further, the Li//LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{1.5}O₄ battery with this F-electrolyte presented enhanced safety and longevity (Fig. 11c) [203]. Song et al. [204] used 5 wt% methyl (2,2,2-trifluoroethyl) carbonate (FEMC), one kind of fluorinated linear carbonate, as a new electrolyte additive for the LIB with LiNi_{0.5}Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.3}O₂ cathodes, and obtained enhanced discharge capacity and cyclability. The analysis by ex situ IR and XPS demonstrates that FEMC plays an important role in forming stable SEI layers and effective passivation of the cathode surfaces.

Although fluorinated additives can remarkably improve the thermal stability of electrolytes and help to construct stable SEI films, the high cost, poor compatibility with LiPF₆



Fig. 11 a Photograph of the wetting behavior of the electrolyte with separators. **b** An illustration of the flammability testing of the electrolyte with and without HFPM. **c** Cycling stability and Coulombic efficiency of $\text{Li}//\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ coin cells in the electrolyte with and without HFPM. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [203]. Copyright 2015, Wiley-VCH. **d** Schematic illustration on the contri-

bution of TEP to the structural integrity of LRO. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [207]. Copyright 2017, Elsevier. **e** Flammability testing of electrolytes and rate performance of Li//LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{1.5}O₄ batteries with and without 5 wt% PFN additives. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [212]. Copyright 2018, Elsevier

and a large required dosage are disadvantageous for practical application.

Phosphorus-retardant additives Due to low toxicity, low cost, suitable physical characteristics, good compatibility and excellent flame-retardant effects, phosphorus-retardant additives are widely studied for LIB electrolytes. Phosphorous additives at high temperature would produce POand PO₂ to capture H \cdot and O [205]; thus, they can suppress combustion of electrolyte solvents by a chemical mechanism to cut off series of radical reactions [206]. As shown in Fig. 11d, Li et al. [207] reported triethyl phosphide (TEP) as an electrolyte additive, which could trap the active oxygen released from activation of Li₂MnO₃ and then could be oxidized on layered Li-rich oxide (LRO) to form a cathode film. Shim et al. [208] introduced diphenyloctyl phosphate (DPOP) with two benzene rings to electrolyte and obtained high-capacity retention rates and discharge capacity in the LiCoO₂/MCMB system [209, 210].

Although phosphorous additives show good thermal and electrochemical properties, they are difficult to suppress

combustion under a limited dosage. Moreover, narrow potential windows, high viscosity and reductive reactions occurred on the anode surface also hinder their practical application. Combining such additives with small amounts of other functional additives should be an efficient strategy.

Nitrogenous-retardant additives Nitrogenous-retardant additives generally have a high flash point, low volatility and wide potential windows. The commonly used ones for LIBs are nitrile derivatives, such as butyronitrile, succinonitrile, terephthalonitrile and adiponitrile, which affect the thermal stability of electrolytes by the physical mechanism. For example, Song et al. [211] introduced dinitriles $(CN-[CH_2]_n-CN \text{ with } n=2, 5 \text{ and } 10)$ and mononitriles $(CH_3-[CH_2]_m-CN \text{ with } m=2, 5 \text{ and } 10)$ as additives into a carbonate-based electrolyte. With the nitrile-present electrolytes, the graphite/LiCoO₂ pouch cells showed small dimensional expansion even when they were stored at 80 °C for 3 days. The strong interaction between the electronegativity of nitrile functional groups and electropositivity of cobalt in LiCoO₂ cathodes is responsible for the improved

performance. However, the compatibility with graphite anodes is poor.

Composite-retardant additives Compared with singleelement-retardant additives, the composite additives present better flame-retardant efficiency, solubility and compatibility with electrolytes. Simultaneously, they guarantee good electrochemical performance with a small dosage due to the synergistic effects of multiple elements. The mostly reported composite additive is ethoxy-(pentafluoro)-cyclotriphosphazene (PFN). As shown in Fig. 11e, PFN presents excellent cycle stability, high rate and high-voltage performances with better CEI films. Besides, the electrolyte with only 5 wt% PFN is completely nonflammable (Fig. 11e) [212]. This further proves that the composite flame retardants would be a good choice to simultaneously improve thermal and electrochemical properties. However, the high-purity reagents cannot be easily prepared industrially, which is not favorable for practical application.

2. SEI supporting and overcharge protection additives

SEI decomposition and overcharge are two critical factors to ignite thermal runways. Thus, the SEI supporting and overcharge protection additives are necessary. Yamaki et al. revealed that the additives of methyl difluoroacetate (MFA) could accelerate the generation of CHF₂COOLi, which is a major component of SEIs. The results showed that the reaction product of CHF₂COOLi and lithiated carbon could increase the thermal stability of the battery [213]. Other electrolyte additives including vinylene carbonate (VC) [214, 215] and vinyl ethylene carbonate (VEC) [216] also have been used to improve the thermal stability of SEI films.

Overcharge is one major cause of LIB failure. It is critical to prevent LIBs from overcharge during operation. Redox shuttle additives have been used as intrinsic overcharge protection to enhance the safety characteristics of LIBs. Amine et al. [217] comprehensively reviewed the state of the art of redox shuttles for safer LIBs. Additionally, electrochemical polymerization additives can also be used for overcharge protection. Ai et al. [218] investigated that biphenyl additive could be electrochemically polymerized at overcharged cathode surfaces, resulting in the formation of a conductive surface polymer film, which served as a conductive bridge to create an internal short circuit to avoid the cell voltage runaway.

7 Innovative and nonflammable electrolyte systems

The carbonate solvents are usually flammable. If LIBs are under abuse conditions, they could be easily ignited to generate a lot of heat. In order to improve the safety of LIBs, many efforts have been focused on seeking innovative solvents to construct a nonflammable electrolyte system.

Room-temperature ionic liquids (RTILs) appear to be good substitutes to conventional organic solvents due to their high ionic conductivity, nonvolatile feature, proper electrochemical windows, thermal stability and low flammability [219]. The anion and cation structures could greatly affect the electrochemical properties of ILs. Recently, TFSI was widely used as anions due to its outstanding electrochemical properties and thermal stability. Mixtures of N-butyl-N-methylpyrrolidinium bis(trifluoro-methanesulfonyl)imide (Pyr₁₄TFSI), N-butyl-N-methylpyrrolidinium bis(fluorosulfonyl)imide (Pyr14FSI), N-methoxy-ethyl-N-methyl-pyrrolidinium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl) imide (Pyr₁₂₀₁TFSI) or N-N-diethyl-N-methyl-N-(2methoxyethyl)ammonium bis(trifluoro-methanesulfonyl)imide (DEMETFSI) ionic liquids with lithium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (LiTFSI) salts are comparatively evaluated for application as electrolytes in LIBs [220, 221]. Among these RTILs, the Pyr₁₄FSI-LiTFSI-based electrolyte shows remarkable electrochemical stability, suitable ionic conductivity and relatively low viscosity [222]. Using this electrolyte in full LIBs of Sn-C/LiFePO₄, the capacity retention was close to 100% over 2000 cycles, and the rate capability could extend up to 500 mA g^{-1} . Additionally, the neat [BuEtPyrrol⁺][NTf²⁻] ionic liquid exhibited extremely high thermal stability up to 367.8 °C with only a mass loss of 10 wt% [223].

However, for RTILs, high-purity requirements, high cost and low conductivity at room temperature caused by high viscosity limit their practical applications in LIBs. Anyway, using RTILs as electrolytes is one of the most beneficial ways to improve safety for LIBs.

7.1 Electrode Design

The electrode is composed of the active materials, the binder and the conductor, which needs to have high density and low porosity to achieve high-volume energy density. The thick electrode is favorable to reduce cell production costs and raise energy density. A cost model of LIBs for EVs shows that the thickness increase in the $LiMn_2O_4$ cathode from 60 to 100 μ m reduces the manufacturing cost of the cell by 18% [224]. Meanwhile, homogeneous reaction distribution should be achieved for thick electrodes; otherwise, overcharge might occur in the cathode and Li dendrites might form on the anode, which may break the cell balance that is specifically designed for a commercial LIB. Here cell balance refers to the initial capacity ratio between the anode and the cathode. There are several factors that influence the reaction homogeneity and distribution of phases in a porous electrode, such as Li-ion diffusion, electronic connection and solid-state transport in the electrode materials. These



Fig. 12 A sequence of four optical micrographs showing the time evolution of color in the electrode: **a-I** the fresh electrode, **a-II** charge for 6 h, **a-III** charge for 9 h and **a-IV** charge for 13 h. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [226]. Copyright 2010, Elsevier. SEM images of the bulk LiCoO₂ electrode synthesis by magnetic templating **b** the top view and **c** the side view. Reproduced with permis-

factors can be analyzed with the help of in situ/operando techniques. Blidberg et al. [225] took advantage of an extension of an in-operando XRD technique to detect the phase distribution in the porous $\text{Li}_x\text{FeSO}_4\text{F}$ (x = 1, 0.5, 0) battery electrode. In-depth analysis of the electrode nonequilibrium behavior was obtained. Harris et al. used an optical half-cell, and the optical data were taken with a microscope viewing the quartz window from above. The lithiation process of the graphite electrode is shown in Fig. 12a [226]. The fully lithiated graphite looked golden yellow, while the delithiated graphite looked black. During the lithiation process, the upper layer of the electrode became golden yellow, indicating that fully lithiated graphite was obtained, while the bottom layer remained black. The electrode showed huge reaction inhomogeneity due to the slow Li-ion migration

sion from Ref. [227]. Copyright 2016, Nature Publishing Group. **d** Schematic diagram of the working mechanism of the carbon framework-based LFP Li-ion battery. SEM images of the carbon framework-based LFP electrode: **e** the top view, **f** the side view and **g** the enlarged view of the side of the electrode. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [229]. Copyright 2017, Wiley-VCH

ability in thick electrode films. Anode electrodes with such reaction inhomogeneity would exhibit limited rate capability and form lithium dendrites easily, which may cause severe safety issues.

Proper design of electrodes is another issue to be addressed. One of the parameters used to characterize the design of electrodes is tortuosity, which is defined as $\tau = \varepsilon$ (σ_0/σ) , where ε is the pore volume fraction, σ_0 the conductivity of the electrolyte and σ the effective ion conductivity of the electrolyte [227]. In order to give a good estimate of the tortuosity, differential effective medium (DEM) is used, which provides particle parameters such as size, shape and orientation [228]. Electrodes with low tortuosity and high ion/electron diffusivity are favored in obtaining higher energy density. Sander et al. created linear pores

with orientations perpendicular to the electrode sheet for fast Li-ion transportation by magnetic templating (Fig. 12b, c). The resulting pores with 25-30 µm average array spacing provided a more direct path for the diffusion of lithium ions to the bottom layer active materials [227]. With the same porosity, the electrodes with isotropic porosity and aligned porosity show different rate capabilities. At a rate of 2 C, the capacity of the latter is 2 times higher than that of the former, showing that a direct path is effective for the Li-ion transportation in a thick electrode [227]. Chen et al. [229] took natural wood as a template to construct a thick electrode with low tortuosity and high ion diffusion/electron conductivity (Fig. 12d). The natural basswood was an ideal template for its aligned pores and effective electron conductivity after carbonization. After filling with LFP nanoparticle slurry, there were aligned pores left, giving a high Li-ion conductivity (Fig. 12e). The obtained thick electrode of ~800 μ m showed excellent performance (Fig. 12f). As shown in the schematic diagram (Fig. 12g), during cycling, the ions quickly diffuse along with the unfilled aligned pores, while the electrons transfer in the wood-carbonized matrix, realizing fast exchange in both electrons and ions in the active materials.

7.2 Temperature Coefficient Device

Thermal runaways are often caused by the accumulation of heat generation when LIBs are variously abused, such as overcharge, high-temperature impact and short circuit. To hold back the sustaining heat generation, a component called the positive temperature coefficient (PTC) device is equipped, which acts as a current limiter to prevent the cell overheating [32, 230, 231]. The PTC device is constituted by a thin conductive polymer layer, whose volume resistivity is positively sensitive to the temperature. Once the temperature exceeds the critical point, the PTC device will be activated and its resistance will increase dramatically to restrict the current flow [32]. Unfortunately, since the electric vehicles and large-scale energy storage systems demand higher capacity and larger energy density [32], the outer PTC device cannot meet the requirement of large batteries because of the hysteresis of heat conduction from inside to outside. The consequence of this delay is more dangerous when the thermal runaway takes place rapidly, and thus, more chain reactions will launch before the outer PTC device works [232]. Therefore, reducing the distance between the PTC device and the electrode could be an effective method to improve the efficiency of the PTC device. PTC-modified current collectors to construct a sandwich structure become



Fig. 13 a Schematic illustration of the sandwich structure electrode, including active layers, PTC layers and current collectors. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [234]. Copyright 2015, Elsevier. b Schematic illustration of directly mixture electrodes. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [232]. Copyright 2012, Elsevier. c Schematic illustration of an electrode composed of coating active materials with PTC materials. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [239]. Copyright 2011, Royal Society of Chemistry. d Schematic illustration illustration of the sandwich permission from Ref. [239].

tion of improved PTC layers for the sandwich structure electrode. The TRPS will activate to increase the resistance and shut down the battery when the temperature exceeds the safety value. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [127]. Copyright 2016, Nature Publishing Group. **e** Schematic illustration of coating active materials with PTC materials as cathode-active particles. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [239]. Copyright 2011, Royal Society of Chemistry

a promising approach (Fig. 13a). Feng et al. [233] proposed a PTC-modified current collector by coating the PTC layer consisting of epoxy-carbon composites between the active LiCoO₂ layer and the Al current collector. Zhong et al. [232] introduced an ethylene vinyl acetate (EVA)-based PTC layer between the current collector and the LiFePO₄ electrode membrane. Zhang et al. [234] introduced poly(3butylthiophene)-based PTC between $Li(Ni_{0.5}Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.3})$ O₂ layer and the Al foil substrate. To overcome the lowtemperature conductivity, Chen et al. [127] synthesized a thermoresponsive polymer switching (TRPS) composed of conductive graphene-coated spiky nanostructured nickel particles as the conductive filler and the polymer matrix with large thermal expansion coefficients to improve the performance of sandwich structure. Once overheating, the polymer matrix will expand and then separate the conductive particles. When the battery has been cooled down, the polymer would regain the original conductive pathways (see Fig. 13d) [127, 235]. Although the PTC-modified current collector is sensitive to the temperature rise caused by external electric abuse, it is helpless to hold back internal short circuit between the electrodes. PTC-modified active materials can solve this problem. Figure 13b illustrates the directly mixed electrode. Kise et al. [236, 237] put forward a carbon black/ polyethylene composite as a PTC material to blend with active materials. Zhong et al. [238] prepared the cathode by mixing the EVA-based PTC material with other reagents such as binders, conductive carbon and LiFePO₄-active materials. However, a mechanical mixture of the PTC materials with active materials cannot efficiently shut down the thermal runaway reactions occurring on the surface of highly active electrode materials at elevated temperatures. Coating active materials with PTC materials as cathodeactive particles is considered as a more effective way for thermally unstable cathode materials (as Fig. 13c shows). Xia et al. [239] synthesized poly(3-decylthiophene)-coated LiCoO₂ particles by a spray-drying method as a temperaturesensitive cathode. Figure 13e illustrates the structure and the working mechanism of the poly(3-decylthiophene)-coated LiCoO₂ (LiCoO₂@P3DT) particles [239].

8 Monitoring Cells for EV Applications

The battery contains a high amount of electric energy and combustible electrolytes; it is still a threat for users in some cases such as improper use, manufacturing defects and longtime reliability even if it is designed to safety. The application of operando techniques for monitoring the internal condition of LIBs is necessary [240].

8.1 Monitoring Temperature and Voltage

The fundamentals of ensuring the LIBs working in the "comfortable zone" are to monitor voltage and temperature of the battery. As mentioned above, when the temperature reaches approximately 90 °C, the self-heating of the cell begins due to the start of the SEI layer decomposition. Monitoring the internal temperature for early warning is supposed as a feasible strategy for battery management systems to improve the safety and performance of LIBs.

In order to get the accurately central temperature of LIBs, some researchers insert sensors into the batteries. Invasive sensors should meet the requirements as below [241]: (1) Li^+ migration should not be affected; (2) the sensor should resist to corrosion; (3) the sensor should be friendly to the cell assembly process.

Commercial thermocouples are not suitable due to their large diameter (over 1 mm), which would damage the structure of batteries [242, 243]. Mutyala et al. [241] fabricated and transferred flexible thin-film thermocouples onto the battery current collectors. This special sensor could be embedded inside the battery without any alteration or destruction to the cell. Besides that, the sensor geometry can be tailored to fit the structure of an existing pouch cell as shown in Fig. 14a [241]. In order to further enhance the performance of flexible thin-film sensors, Lee et al. [244, 245] developed a two-in-one flexible microsensor by depositing erosion-resistant parylene and other sensor materials layerby-layer using physical vapor deposition (PVD) technique, which could in situ monitor the temperature and voltage of the battery.

Fiber Bragg grating (FBG) sensors are integrated to measure the temperature because Bragg grating reflects a narrow band of wavelengths based on the grating period [246]. The common method to prepare an FBG is a phase mask method, and the size of the FBG sensor is subjected to optical fibers. The diameter of FBG sensors should be less than 125 μ m, which is friendly to cell assembly [246, 247]. The schematic diagram of FBG sensors is shown in Fig. 14b [246]. To improve the internal discrimination of temperature, Nascimento et al. [248] proposed hybrid methodology based on an FBG in series with a Fabry-Perot (FP) cavity. Some researchers wrote multiple FBGs in an optical fiber to monitor the multipoint temperature. Nascimento et al. wrote 3 FBGs in fibers and fixed them into the cell on its extremities to monitor the temperature of top, middle and bottom of the battery (Fig. 14c). Thus, it would be possible to monitor the temperature of every cell in the module by an optical fiber with FBGs in the future. Besides temperature, FBG sensors are also sensitive to strain, pressure, bending, even the state of charge (SOC), the state of health (SOH) and so on [246, 249]. The advantages of small size, flexibility, antiinterference and multifunction make FBG sensors highly



Fig. 14 a Pouch cell with embedded flexible thin-film sensors. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [241]. Copyright 2014, Elsevier. b Schematic diagram of FBG sensors. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [246]. Copyright 2017, American Chemical Society.

c Schematic diagram of multipoint temperature monitoring by optical sensors. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [248]. Copyright 2019, Elsevier

attractive [250]. However, the expensive optical interrogator of FBG sensors to measure wavelength shifts restricts their practical application.

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) has attracted much attention because it is a versatile experimental method for nondestructive estimation of some electrochemical parameters [251, 252]. Munichandraiah et al. [251] found the SEI layer impedance (Z_{SEI}) is only sensitive to the temperature when the LIBs are under normal use. The Z_{SEI} is frequency-dependent (a wide range of frequency from 10 Hz to 1 kHz), and its quadrature component is capacitive (negative phase shifts) [251]. Srinivasan et al. [253] proved that simply measuring the phase shift (φ) to estimate the temperature of the cell is feasible. Compared with deriving SEI layer resistance (R_{SEI}) and electrolyte resistance (R_s) [57, 254], φ is easier to estimate the temperature without requiring multiple-frequency impedance, and it is well matched with measured data of the electrical equivalent circuit model [255]. φ has been supposed to be an effective substitution of R_{SEI} and R_S to estimate temperature [255]. Zhu et al. [252] demonstrated that choosing an appropriate frequency range to exclude the influence of the SOC and the SOH is important. They find that the typical frequency range is 10–100 Hz [252]. The temperature measurement based on EIS is a promising online estimation method for the battery management system (BMS) to monitor the temperature of the battery, but most of the tests require the battery with fully out operation states, which restricts its practical applications. To overcome this limitation, dynamic conditions should be considered. Zhu et al. [243] studied the regularity of φ after current excitations with short relaxation time and put forward a multilinear regression to correct the relationship between φ and temperature. But it is still not suitable for all operating conditions. The temperature monitoring for dynamic operation should be extensively studied. Besides accurately monitoring the temperature of cells, the phase shift has proved to be an effective parameter to predict cell venting, which could be a decisive condition for best control of the batteries [253].

Sometimes monitoring voltage is more effective than monitoring temperature. For example, Feng et al. [20] investigated the thermal runaway features of large format batteries by accelerating the rate calorimetry. They found that the interval between the voltage drop and the temperature rise was 15 s when the battery suffered external heating [20]. Besides that, they found that the internal resistance (R_{in}) would rise slowly from 20 to 60 m Ω before thermal runaways. Once the thermal runaway occurs, the R_{in} will rise dramatically to 370 m Ω . Their works provide another method to predict and monitor the thermal runaway process [20].

8.2 Monitoring the Dendrites

The lithium dendrite is a potential risk of an internal short circuit in LIBs. Dendritic lithium is much easy to be formed under high charging current, overcharge, low charging temperature, improper cell balance and the uneven casting of the electrode [34]. It is necessary to detect the lithium dendrites before a complete internal short circuit.

8.2.1 Online Monitoring

Measuring anode potential (vs. Li/Li⁺) with a reference electrode (RE) [256, 257]. The critical criterion is that lithium dendrites will be formed if the anode potential drops below 0 V [256, 257]. The typical RE is metallic lithium foil. However, the potential of metallic lithium is highly related to

the SEI interface. Some researchers propose lithium alloys including Li_ySn and Li_yAl. [258] or lithium intercalation compounds like LFP and LTO as Ref. [259]. Lithium intercalation compounds with stable operating potential and less reaction with electrolytes have been recognized as the promising REs. To reduce the impact on the ionic pathway, the reference electrode should be miniaturized. Some researchers proposed depositing reference materials onto inert substrates such as thin Ni, Cu or Au wires [260]. In recent years, Wu et al. [261] developed a new kind of separator integrated with a reference electrode (Fig. 15a) and designed a sandwiched separator with thin and porous conducting metal as the middle layer. By monitoring the voltage between the middle metal and the anode, the dendrite could be predicted before the internal short circuit happens.



Fig. 15 a A new kind of sandwiched separator integrated with a reference electrode which could predict lithium dendrite by monitoring the voltage between the middle conducting metal and the anode. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [261]. Copyright 2014, Nature. b-I Schematic of the in situ optical cell designed as side-byside arrangements. b-II and b-III In situ observation about the lithium deposition during the overcharge process. b-IV and b-V In situ observation of dissolution of lithium dendrites during the discharge process. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [271]. Copyright 2015, Royal Society of Chemistry. c-I and c-II In situ TEM images

of lithium deposition at the interface of a Pt working electrode during the first charge cycle. **c-III** Dissolution of lithium dendrites during the first discharge cycle. **c-IV** and **c-V** The lithium deposition during the third charge cycle. **c-VI** Dissolution of lithium dendrites during the third discharge cycle. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [272]. Copyright 2015, American Chemical Society. **d-I** and **d-II** Schematic of the operando EPR cell with a reference electrode. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [274]. Copyright 2017, Elsevier

High-precision measurement of Coulombic efficiency It is reported that the metallic lithium plating on the anode will reduce the Coulombic efficiency (CE) of the battery [260]. Recognizing subtle change in CE to detect the lithium plating is feasible. Dahn et al. [262] got the critical charging rate to avoid lithium deposition at different ambient temperatures by measuring CE with precision of 0.01%. However, the side reaction such as the oxidizing reaction of electrolytes during the cycle will affect CE too, which will disturb the measuring accuracy of lithium deposition.

High-precision measurement of the thickness of batteries It is reported that the volume of graphite anodes is sensitive to the lithiated elementary state, while the volume change of cathode materials during cycles is much smaller [260]. Compared with intercalating lithium into the graphite, depositing lithium on the surface of the anode shows an extra increase in overall volume. Lithium plating will bring about a gain in volume of 0.37 cm³ Ah⁻¹, while charging 1 Ah by intercalating lithium will cause a volume change of 0.12 cm^3 [263]. Based on this theory, Bitzer et al. [263] developed a spring gauge measurement setup with precision of 1 µm to detect the lithium plating by measuring the thickness change of the cell during charge. Rieger et al. [264] applied a more accurate laser scanning technique to detect local thickness changes during operation. Grimsmann et al. [265] proposed an inductance sensor based on the eddy current principle with the advantage of high resolution and noncontact to monitor the thickness change of the cell. However, this method is only suitable for pouch cells to detect the volume change after lithium plating. Besides that, side reactions such as electrolyte redox reaction will produce gases, which may disturb the accuracy of this method.

Detecting the difference of the voltage plateau after charging The potential of metallic lithium depositing on the graphite surface is lower than $Li_{r}C_{6}$, which results in the preferential oxidation of lithium plating during the following discharge process [260, 266]. It is reported that the preferential oxidation of lithium plating will generate a high-voltage plateau during the following discharge process. Smart et al. proved that the quantity of lithium plating could be evaluated by the length of the plateau [267]. Petzl et al. [268] detected the quantity of deposited lithium by differential voltage (dV/dQ) analysis by taking the SOC into consideration. In addition, the potential difference between deposited lithium and Li_xC₆ will push the metallic lithium to be reinserted into the graphite [260]. The potential difference will drop during the subsequent relaxation and result in a voltage plateau, which offers a new method to monitor the lithium plating [257, 266, 269]. Schindler et al. [270] introduced an improved voltage differential (dV/dt) to study the voltage relaxation processes. Luders et al. detected the lithium plating by voltage relaxation combined with neutron diffraction. Their results showed that the improved dV/dt could effectively monitor the lithium plating in different tests [269]. Monitoring the voltage plateau is a promising online method to detect lithium plating because it does not need special and expensive equipment.

8.2.2 In Situ/Ex Situ Detection

Lithium plating can also be discriminated by optical microscopy, transmission electron microscopy (TEM), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), atomic force microscopy (AFM), neutron diffraction, electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR), etc. These techniques are suitable for investigating the mechanisms of lithium plating rather than only online detection. Among them, neutron diffraction measurement, NMR and EPR can be conducted without destroying the cell.

Morphology characterization Optical microscopy is the common technology used to observe the lithium dendrite growth on the anode side by side or face to face with a quartz glass window in an in situ optical cell [266, 271]. Figure 15b-I illustrates the side-by-side optical cell designed by Guo et al. [271]. Figure 15(b-II) and (b-III) shows the formation process of lithium plating during charge [271]. Figure 15(b-IV) and (b-V) displays the dissolution of lithium dendrites on graphite during discharge [271]. SEM, TEM and AFM are effective to characterize the formation of lithium plating in the microscale in situ or ex situ [272, 273]. Figure 15c shows the typical images about lithium dendrite growth, and stripping on the Pt working electrode is taken by in situ TEM [272].

Neutron diffraction Neutron diffraction measurement is a powerful tool to study the lithium dendrites because it can analyze the distribution of lithium in the electrode without interference from another component of the cell. Zinth et al. [47] researched the influence of the charge rate on anode lithium plating by in situ neutron diffraction. To match with the voltage relaxation behavior, Luders et al. [269] extended the experimental approach by combining voltage relaxation and in situ neutron diffraction. They got the relationship between C-rates and lithium plating [269].

NMR and EPR NMR and EPR are deemed to be practical tools to detect lithium metal within graphite anodes based on the particular range of resonance frequency related to metal-lic lithium [257, 274]. It is reported that the lithium plating could be quantified by the intensity of ⁷Li signals. Gotoh et al. [275] applied in situ ⁷Li NMR to research the behavior of deposited lithium when the battery is overcharged. They found that the lithium plating could reinsert into the graphite anode, which provides the theoretical basis for detecting lithium plating by analyzing the voltage plateau after charging mentioned above [275]. Compared with NMR, EPR shows higher sensitivity for lithium plating detection because EPR microwaves have about ten times more skin

depth in comparison with NMR radio waves [25]. Wandt et al. [25] applied operando EPR to compare two cells with different electrolyte additives and found that the fluoroethylene carbonate (FEC) additive could reduce the formation of lithium plating. Then, they improved the battery combining with the LFP reference electrode (Fig. 15d-I and d-II) [274]. They deconvoluted the Coulombic inefficiency and quantified the contributions of both dead lithium and active lithium loss due to SEI formation, which is useful to optimize the fast charging procedure, model validation and so on [274].

8.3 Detecting Gas Leakage

The battery seal is an important factor related to safety. A damaged battery seal may result in the leakage of volatile and flammable solvents, which poses serious safety concerns for users. There are several abuse circumstances that lead to the fracture of the cell, such as the undetectable damage during battery production, battery system assembly or maintenance [24]. Furthermore, overcharge, overheat, internal short circuit, etc., will cause violent chemical reactions and hence release gases [15, 276]. Once the safety valve or shell cannot stand the pressure, the battery will fracture and vent dangerous toxic gaseous mixtures (CH₄, C₂H₄, C₂H₆, C₃H₆, H₂, CO, CO₂, HF, PF₅, etc.) [32, 277]. Golubkov et al.

studied the breakup process of 18650 consumer cell with three types of cathodes (LFP, NMC, LCO/NMC) in an argon atmosphere. In their experiment, the safety vent will open at 160 °C [31]. The results showed that the amount of gas strongly depended on the cell type. Both metal-oxide cells released a large amount of gas at a high rate [31]. The gas evolution was detected as shown in Fig. 16(a-I)-(a-III). Fernandes et al. [278] identified the gases emitted by overcharging 26,650 cells with LFP cathodes. Their analysis showed that CO₂ accounted for 47% of the gas production, while H₂ for 23%, C₂H₄ for 10%, CO 4.9% and C₂H₅F 4.6% [278]. It is reported that the main components of gas evolution are H₂ and CO₂ and that almost all of the metal-oxide cells will produce a considerable quantity of CO [30, 31, 277]. This may open a new avenue for monitoring working condition and preventing the thermal runaway for LIB systems.

Wenger et al. [24] used a gas sensor to detect volatile organic compounds (VOCs) when electrolyte leakage occurs at an early stage. Figure 16a–c shows the photograph of the sensor based on MEMS MOS gas sensors, and Fig. 16d, e shows the picture of the test setup with a 5-Ah cell placed in a container in which a MEMS MOSbased sensor is installed [24]. Compared with the traditional detection methods such as temperature and voltage detection of a cell failure, the gas sensor shows much more



Fig. 16 a The compositions of produced gases for LCO/NMC cells. **b** The compositions of produced gases for NMC cells. **c** The compositions of produced gases for LFP cells. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [31]. Copyright 2014, Springer. **d** Digital image of the gas

sensor. **e** Equipment setup for leakage tests. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [24]. Copyright 2014, IECON 2014—40th Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society

sensitive detection capability [24]. For instance, during the overcharging experiment, the gas sensor detected the failure earlier than the temperature sensor when the cell temperature was below 60 °C [24]. Thus, performance and practicality should be considered together in real applications [24]. Raghavan et al. [30] developed a safety device to monitor the battery states based on sensing the quantity of CO_2 and hydrocarbon gas. Their device showed good sensitivity to the internal short circuit [30]. In summary, monitoring gas is a useful method to timely alert the safety status. However, too sensitive detection may cause a false alarm.

9 Summary and Prospects

The invention and application of LIBs brings a lot of convenience to our life. But the safety issue always exists and needs to be urgently investigated, especially for the LIBs with high energy density. In-depth understanding the failure mechanism and building safe batteries effectively are of critical importance in the future research, which we have discussed in this review. Here, the complicated triggering conditions of EV safety issues are divided into mechanical, thermal and electrical abuse, which specifically include car crash, battery leakage, overheat, overcharge, electrical system failure and so on. In order to simulate these abusive conditions, many compulsory test standards have been formulated to test LIBs before application in EVs.

Generally, LIBs are voltage and temperature-sensitive products. In all abuse conditions, overcharge and overheat are the most serious events that may lead to thermal runaways. Upon a deep understanding of the failure mechanisms of cell components, constructing full gradient concentration Ni-rich oxide cathodes to balance energy density and thermal stability, building robust SEIs for the anode, improving thermal stability for separators have proven applicable to build safe batteries. Additionally, alternative lithium salts, effective functional additives and nonflammable solvent systems like novel aqueous electrolytes and polymer electrolytes have been proposed. Furthermore, high-concentration lithium salts and novel encapsulation technologies have been used to avoid direct contact between additives and electrolytes and hence to improve battery performance. Since the battery is a frail system, improving one parameter may sacrifice other battery merits. With better components, the durable boundaries of batteries could be expanded. Although componentlevel safety is so important, the application of operando techniques for monitoring the internal condition of LIBs is also necessary, it could ensure the cell working in the suitable voltage/temperature range and identify potential risks ahead of time, but it still has not drawn enough attention. More importantly, temperature, voltage, dendrites and gas release are important factors that we should pay much attention to. New online monitoring approaches, especially for lithium dendrites, should be further developed.

Although great progress has been made on the design of safer cells and packs for LIBs, many intrinsic challenges still remain due to the existence of flammable organic solvents and some other uncertainties. To completely address this safety problem, undoubtedly, solid-state batteries (SSBs) are good choices. Nonflammable electrolytes and lithium anodes are combined to achieve high energy density and safety together. However, there are many challenges in the development of SSBs. For example, qualified solid-state electrolytes with high ionic conductivity and good manipulating ability are still under investigation; poor compatibility between lithium metal and solid electrolytes would induce great interfacial resistance and result in poor electrochemical performance, and the manufacturing technology for SSBs is not mature. Fortunately, lots of progress has been achieved with more and more researchers involved in the field of SSBs in recent years. With continuous and intensive worldwide efforts, we believe that SSBs will no doubt become the choice of next-generation safe rechargeable batteries in the near future.

Acknowledgements The authors gratefully acknowledge National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. U1764256, 51632001). This work was also supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Whittingham, M.S.: Lithium batteries and cathode materials. Chem. Rev. 104, 4271–4302 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1021/ cr020731c
- Zhou, L.M., Zhang, K., Hu, Z., et al.: Recent developments on and prospects for electrode materials with hierarchical structures for lithium-ion batteries. Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1701415 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201701415
- 3. Wei, Q.L., Xiong, F.Y., Tan, S.S., et al.: Energy storage: porous one-dimensional nanomaterials: design, fabrication and

applications in electrochemical energy storage. Adv. Mater. **29**, 1602300 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201770134

- Hao, H., Cheng, X., Liu, Z.W., et al.: China's traction battery technology roadmap: targets, impacts and concerns. Energy Policy 108, 355–358 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol .2017.06.011
- Ding, Y.L., Cano, Z.P., Yu, A.P., et al.: Automotive Li-ion batteries: current status and future perspectives. Electrochem. Energ. Rev. 2, 1–28 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1007/s41918-018-0022-z
- Wu, H.L., Zhang, Y.B., Deng, Y.Q., et al.: A lightweight carbon nanofiber-based 3D structured matrix with high nitrogen-doping level for lithium metal anodes. Sci. China Mater. 62, 87–94 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1007/s40843-018-9298-x
- Li, S., Jiang, M.W., Xie, Y., et al.: Developing high-performance lithium metal anode in liquid electrolytes: challenges and progress. Adv. Mater. 30, 1706375 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1002/ adma.201706375
- Li, W.D., Liu, X.M., Celio, H., et al.: Mn versus Al in layered oxide cathodes in lithium-ion batteries: a comprehensive evaluation on long-term cyclability. Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1703154 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201703154
- Li, Y., Li, X.H., Wang, Z.X., et al.: An Ostwald ripening route towards Ni-rich layered cathode material with cobalt-rich surface for lithium ion battery. Sci. China Mater. 61, 719–727 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1007/s40843-017-9162-3
- Liu, Z.H., Yu, Q., Zhao, Y.L., et al.: Silicon oxides: a promising family of anode materials for lithium-ion batteries. Chem. Soc. Rev. 48, 285–309 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1039/c8cs00441b
- Ding, X.L., Liu, X.X., Huang, Y.Y., et al.: Enhanced electrochemical performance promoted by monolayer graphene and void space in silicon composite anode materials. Nano Energy 27, 647–657 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2016.07.031
- Noh, H.J., Youn, S., Yoon, C.S., et al.: Comparison of the structural and electrochemical properties of layered Li[Ni_xCo_yMnz]O₂ (x = 1/3, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8 and 0.85) cathode material for lithiumion batteries. J. Power Sources 233, 121–130 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2013.01.063
- Cao, G.Z.: Solvent-salt synergy offers a safe pathway towards next generation high voltage Li-ion batteries. Sci. China Mater. 61, 1360–1362 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1007/s4084 3-018-9296-y
- Peng, P., Jiang, F.M.: Thermal safety of lithium-ion batteries with various cathode materials: a numerical study. Int. J. Heat Mass Transf. 103, 1008–1016 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhea tmasstransfer.2016.07.088
- Ren, D.S., Feng, X.N., Lu, L.G., et al.: An electrochemical-thermal coupled overcharge-to-thermal-runaway model for lithium ion battery. J. Power Sources 364, 328–340 (2017). https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2017.08.035
- Shi, J.L., Fang, L.F., Li, H., et al.: Improved thermal and electrochemical performances of PMMA modified PE separator skeleton prepared via dopamine-initiated ATRP for lithium ion batteries. J. Membr. Sci. 437, 160–168 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2013.03.006
- Ravdel, B., Abraham, K.M., Gitzendanner, R., et al.: Thermal stability of lithium-ion battery electrolytes. J. Power Sources 119(120/121), 805–810 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1016/s0378 -7753(03)00257-x
- Li, J.C., Ma, C., Chi, M.F., et al.: Solid electrolyte: the key for high-voltage lithium batteries. Adv. Energy Mater. 5, 1401408 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201401408
- Jhu, C.Y., Wang, Y.W., Shu, C.M., et al.: Thermal explosion hazards on 18650 lithium ion batteries with a VSP2 adiabatic calorimeter. J. Hazard. Mater. **192**, 99–107 (2011). https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.04.097

- Feng, X.N., Fang, M., He, X.M., et al.: Thermal runaway features of large format prismatic lithium ion battery using extended volume accelerating rate calorimetry. J. Power Sources 255, 294– 301 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2014.01.005
- Park, S., Jung, D.: Battery cell arrangement and heat transfer fluid effects on the parasitic power consumption and the cell temperature distribution in a hybrid electric vehicle. J. Power Sources 227, 191–198 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpows our.2012.11.039
- Zhu, L.T., Sun, Z.C., Dai, H.F., et al.: A novel modeling methodology of open circuit voltage hysteresis for LiFePO₄ batteries based on an adaptive discrete Preisach model. Appl. Energy 155, 91–109 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.05.103
- Wang, X.Y., Wei, X.Z., Dai, H.F.: Estimation of state of health of lithium-ion batteries based on charge transfer resistance considering different temperature and state of charge. J. Energy Storage 21, 618–631 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.est.2018.11.020
- Wenger, M., Waller, R., Lorentz, V.R.H., et al.: Investigation of gas sensing in large lithium-ion battery systems for early fault detection and safety improvement. In: IECON 2014—40th Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society October 29–November 1, 2014. IEEE, Dallas, TX, USA. New York, USA. https://doi.org/10.1109/iecon.2014.7049366
- Wandt, J., Marino, C., Gasteiger, H.A., et al.: Operando electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy—formation of mossy lithium on lithium anodes during charge–discharge cycling. Energy Environ. Sci. 8, 1358–1367 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1039/c4ee0 2730b
- Hsieh, A.G., Bhadra, S., Hertzberg, B.J., et al.: Electrochemical-acoustic time of flight: in operando correlation of physical dynamics with battery charge and health. Energy Environ. Sci. 8, 1569–1577 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1039/c5ee00111k
- Sharma, N., Peterson, V.K., Elcombe, M.M., et al.: Structural changes in a commercial lithium-ion battery during electrochemical cycling: an in situ neutron diffraction study. J. Power Sources 195, 8258–8266 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpows our.2010.06.114
- Scrosati, B.: History of lithium batteries. J. Solid State Electrochem. 15, 1623–1630 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1007/s1000 8-011-1386-8
- Li, X.Y., Wang, Z.P.: A novel fault diagnosis method for lithiumion battery packs of electric vehicles. Measurement 116, 402– 411 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2017.11.034
- Wang, Y., Gao, Q., Wang, G.H., et al.: A review on research status and key technologies of battery thermal management and its enhanced safety. Int. J. Energy Res. 42, 4008–4033 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1002/er.4158
- Golubkov, A.W., Fuchs, D.: Thermal runaway: causes and consequences on cell level. In: Thaler, A., Watzenig, D. (eds.) Automotive Battery Technology, pp. 37–51. Springer, Cham (2014). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-02523-0_3
- Wen, J.W., Yu, Y., Chen, C.H.: A review on lithium-ion batteries safety issues: existing problems and possible solutions. Mater. Express 2, 197–212 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1166/ mex.2012.1075
- Zhang, R., Zheng, Y., Duan, J., et al.: Batteries for electric vehicles: opportunities and challenges. Science 358, 10–13 (2017). (special issue)
- Minggao, O., Ren, D.S., Lu, L.G., et al.: Overcharge-induced capacity fading analysis for large format lithium-ion batteries with Li₂Ni_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}O₂ + Li₂Mn₂O₄ composite cathode. J. Power Sources **279**, 626–635 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jpowsour.2015.01.051
- Zeng, Y.Q., Wu, K., Wang, D.Y., et al.: Overcharge investigation of lithium-ion polymer batteries. J. Power Sources 160, 1302– 1307 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2006.02.009

- Iriyama, Y., Yokoyama, M., Yada, C., et al.: Preparation of LiFePO₄ thin films by pulsed laser deposition and their electrochemical properties. Electrochem. Solid-State Lett. 7, A340 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1149/1.1795052
- Ohsaki, T., Kishi, T., Kuboki, T., et al.: Overcharge reaction of lithium-ion batteries. J. Power Sources 146, 97–100 (2005). https ://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2005.03.105
- Wu, L.J., Nam, K.W., Wang, X.J., et al.: Structural origin of overcharge-induced thermal instability of Ni-containing layeredcathodes for high-energy-density lithium batteries. Chem. Mater. 23, 3953–3960 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1021/cm201452q
- Wang, H.Y., Tang, A.D., Huang, K.L.: Oxygen evolution in overcharged Li_xNi_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}O₂ electrode and its thermal analysis kinetics. Chin. J. Chem. 29, 1583–1588 (2011). https://doi. org/10.1002/cjoc.201180284
- Yuan, Q.F., Zhao, F.G., Wang, W.D., et al.: Overcharge failure investigation of lithium-ion batteries. Electrochim. Acta 178, 682–688 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2015.07.147
- Zheng, H.H., Sun, Q.N., Liu, G., et al.: Correlation between dissolution behavior and electrochemical cycling performance for LiNi_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}O₂-based cells. J. Power Sources **207**, 134–140 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2012.01.122
- Wu, X.W., Wang, Z.X., Li, X.H., et al.: Effect of lithium difluoro(oxalato)borate and heptamethyldisilazane with different concentrations on cycling performance of LiMn₂O₄. J. Power Sources **204**, 133–138 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpows our.2011.12.012
- Abraham, D.P., Spila, T., Furczon, M.M., et al.: Evidence of transition-metal accumulation on aged graphite anodes by SIMS. Electrochem. Solid-State Lett. 11, A226 (2008). https://doi. org/10.1149/1.2987680
- Sharma, N., Peterson, V.K.: Overcharging a lithium-ion battery: effect on the Li_xC6 negative electrode determined by in situ neutron diffraction. J. Power Sources 244, 695–701 (2013). https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2012.12.019
- Li, Z., Huang, J., Yann Liaw, B., et al.: A review of lithium deposition in lithium-ion and lithium metal secondary batteries. J. Power Sources 254, 168–182 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jpowsour.2013.12.099
- Abraham, D.P., Roth, E.P., Kostecki, R., et al.: Diagnostic examination of thermally abused high-power lithium-ion cells. J. Power Sources 161, 648–657 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpows our.2006.04.088
- Zinth, V., von Lüders, C., Hofmann, M., et al.: Lithium plating in lithium-ion batteries at sub-ambient temperatures investigated by in situ neutron diffraction. J. Power Sources 271, 152–159 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2014.07.168
- Li, H.F., Gao, J.K., Zhang, S.L.: Effect of overdischarge on swelling and recharge performance of lithium ion cells. Chin. J. Chem. 26, 1585–1588 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1002/cjoc.200890286
- Zhang, L.L., Ma, Y.L., Cheng, X.Q., et al.: Capacity fading mechanism during long-term cycling of over-discharged LiCoO₂/ mesocarbon microbeads battery. J. Power Sources 293, 1006– 1015 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2015.06.040
- Zhao, M.C., Kariuki, S., Dewald, H.D., et al.: Electrochemical stability of copper in lithium-ion battery electrolytes. J. Electrochem. Soc. 147, 2874 (2000). https://doi.org/10.1149/1.1393619
- Guo, R., Lu, L.G., Ouyang, M.G., et al.: Mechanism of the entire overdischarge process and overdischarge-induced internal short circuit in lithium-ion batteries. Sci. Rep. 6, 30248 (2016). https ://doi.org/10.1038/srep30248
- Shu, J., Shui, M., Xu, D., et al.: A comparative study of overdischarge behaviors of cathode materials for lithium-ion batteries. J. Solid State Electrochem. 16, 819–824 (2012). https://doi. org/10.1007/s10008-011-1484-7

- Shu, J., Shui, M., Huang, F.T., et al.: A new look at lithium cobalt oxide in a broad voltage range for lithium-ion batteries. J. Phys. Chem. C 114, 3323–3328 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1021/jp911 994b
- Peramunage, D.: Preparation and electrochemical characterization of overlithiated spinel LiMn₂O₄. J. Electrochem. Soc. 145, 1131 (1998). https://doi.org/10.1149/1.1838428
- Zhu, J.G., Sun, Z.C., Wei, X.Z., et al.: Experimental investigations of an AC pulse heating method for vehicular high power lithium-ion batteries at subzero temperatures. J. Power Sources 367, 145–157 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpows our.2017.09.063
- Jiang, J.C., Ruan, H.J., Sun, B.X., et al.: A reduced low-temperature electro-thermal coupled model for lithium-ion batteries. Appl. Energy 177, 804–816 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j. apenergy.2016.05.153
- Zhang, S.S., Xu, K., Jow, T.R.: Electrochemical impedance study on the low temperature of Li-ion batteries. Electrochim. Acta 49, 1057–1061 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elect acta.2003.10.016
- Herreyre, S., Huchet, O., Barusseau, S., et al.: New Li-ion electrolytes for low temperature applications. J. Power Sources 97(98), 576–580 (2001). https://doi.org/10.1016/s0378 -7753(01)00670-x
- Zhu, J.G., Sun, Z.C., Wei, X.Z., et al.: An alternating current heating method for lithium-ion batteries from subzero temperatures. Int. J. Energy Res. 40, 1869–1883 (2016). https://doi. org/10.1002/er.3576
- Smart, M.C., Ratnakumar, B.V., Whitcanack, L.D., et al.: Improved low-temperature performance of lithium-ion cells with quaternary carbonate-based electrolytes. J. Power Sources 119(120/121), 349–358 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1016/s0378 -7753(03)00154-x
- Senyshyn, A., Mühlbauer, M.J., Dolotko, O., et al.: Low-temperature performance of Li-ion batteries: the behavior of lithiated graphite. J. Power Sources 282, 235–240 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2015.02.008
- Orsini, F., du Pasquier, A., Beaudoin, B., et al.: In situ scanning electron microscopy (SEM) observation of interfaces within plastic lithium batteries. J. Power Sources 76, 19–29 (1998). https://doi.org/10.1016/s0378-7753(98)00128-1
- Kim, G.H., Pesaran, A., Spotnitz, R.: A three-dimensional thermal abuse model for lithium-ion cells. J. Power Sources 170, 476–489 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpows our.2007.04.018
- Maleki, H.: Thermal stability studies of Li-ion cells and components. J. Electrochem. Soc. 146, 3224 (1999). https://doi. org/10.1149/1.1392458
- Zhao, R., Zhang, S.J., Liu, J., et al.: A review of thermal performance improving methods of lithium ion battery: electrode modification and thermal management system. J. Power Sources 299, 557–577 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2015.09.001
- Liu, H.Q., Wei, Z.B., He, W.D., et al.: Thermal issues about Li-ion batteries and recent progress in battery thermal management systems: a review. Energy Convers. Manag. 150, 304–330 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2017.08.016
- Feng, X.N., Ouyang, M.G., Liu, X., et al.: Thermal runaway mechanism of lithium ion battery for electric vehicles: a review. Energy Storage Mater. 10, 246–267 (2018). https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ensm.2017.05.013
- Doughty, D.H., Crafts, C.C.: FreedomCAR: electrical energy storage system abuse test manual for electric and hybrid electric vehicle applications. Off. Sci. Tech. Inf. (2006). https://doi. org/10.2172/889934

- Myung, S.T., Maglia, F., Park, K.J., et al.: Nickel-rich layered cathode materials for automotive lithium-ion batteries: achievements and perspectives. ACS Energy Lett. 2, 196–223 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.6b00594
- Jiang, J., Dahn, J.R.: ARC studies of the thermal stability of three different cathode materials: LiCoO₂; Li[Ni_{0.1}Co_{0.8}Mn_{0.1}]O₂; and LiFePO₄, in LiPF6 and LiBoB EC/DEC electrolytes. Electrochem. Commun. 6, 39–43 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eleco m.2003.10.011
- Andersson, A.: Lithium extraction/insertion in LiFePO₄: an X-ray diffraction and Mössbauer spectroscopy study. Solid State Ionics 130, 41–52 (2000). https://doi.org/10.1016/s0167 -2738(00)00311-8
- Röder, P., Baba, N., Friedrich, K.A., et al.: Impact of delithiated Li₀FePO₄ on the decomposition of LiPF₆-based electrolyte studied by accelerating rate calorimetry. J. Power Sources 236, 151–157 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2013.02.044
- Dahn, J., Fuller, E., Obrovac, M., et al.: Thermal stability of Li_xCoO₂, Li_xNiO₂ and λ-MnO₂ and consequences for the safety of Li-ion cells. Solid State Ionics 69, 265–270 (1994). https:// doi.org/10.1016/0167-2738(94)90415-4
- Cao, H., Xia, B.J., Xu, N.X., et al.: Structural and electrochemical characteristics of Co and Al co-doped lithium nickelate cathode materials for lithium-ion batteries. J. Alloy. Compd. 376, 282–286 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2004.01.008
- Yabuuchi, N., Ohzuku, T.: Novel lithium insertion material of LiCo_{1/3}Ni_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}O₂ for advanced lithium-ion batteries. J. Power Sources **119**(120/121), 171–174 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0378-7753(03)00173-3
- Bak, S.M., Hu, E.Y., Zhou, Y.N., et al.: Structural changes and thermal stability of charged LiNi_xMn_yCo₂O₂ cathode materials studied by combined in situ time-resolved XRD and mass spectroscopy. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces. 6, 22594–22601 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1021/am506712c
- Liang, C.P., Kong, F.T., Longo, R.C., et al.: Unraveling the origin of instability in Ni-rich LiNi_{1-2x}Co_xMn_xO₂ (NCM) cathode materials. J. Phys. Chem. C **120**, 6383–6393 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.6b00369
- Wang, Y.D., Jiang, J.W., Dahn, J.R.: The reactivity of delithiated Li(Ni_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3})O₂, Li(Ni_{0.8}Co_{0.15}Al_{0.05})O₂ or LiCoO₂ with non-aqueous electrolyte. Electrochem. Commun. 9, 2534–2540 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elecom.2007.07.033
- 79. Hwang, S., Kim, S.M., Bak, S.M., et al.: Investigating local degradation and thermal stability of charged nickel-based cathode materials through real-time electron microscopy. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces. 6, 15140–15147 (2014). https://doi. org/10.1021/am503278f
- Bang, H.J., Joachin, H., Yang, H., et al.: Contribution of the structural changes of LiNi_{0.8}Co_{0.15}Al_{0.05}O₂ cathodes on the exothermic reactions in Li-ion cells. J. Electrochem. Soc. 153, A731 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1149/1.2171828
- Belharouak, I., Lu, W.Q., Liu, J., et al.: Thermal behavior of delithiated Li(Ni_{0.8}Co_{0.15}Al_{0.05})O₂ and Li_{1.1}(Ni_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3})_{0.9}O₂ powders. J. Power Sources **174**, 905–909 (2007). https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2007.06.092
- Hua, W.B., Schwarz, B., Knapp, M., et al.: (De)lithiation mechanism of hierarchically layered LiNi_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}O₂ cathodes during high-voltage cycling. J. Electrochem. Soc. **166**, A5025–A5032 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1149/2.0051903jes
- Chen, Z.H., Ren, Y., Lee, E., et al.: Study of thermal decomposition of Li_{1-x}(Ni_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}Co_{1/3})_{0.9}O₂ using in situ high-energy X-ray diffraction. Adv. Energy Mater. **3**, 729–736 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201201059
- 84. Miller, D.J., Proff, C., Wen, J.G., et al.: Observation of microstructural evolution in Li battery cathode oxide particles by

in situ electron microscopy. Adv. Energy Mater. **3**, 1098–1103 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201300015

- Kondrakov, A.O., Schmidt, A., Xu, J., et al.: Anisotropic lattice strain and mechanical degradation of high- and low-nickel NCM cathode materials for Li-ion batteries. J. Phys. Chem. C 121, 3286–3294 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.6b128 85
- Ding, Y., Mu, D.B., Wu, B.R., et al.: Recent progresses on nickelrich layered oxide positive electrode materials used in lithiumion batteries for electric vehicles. Appl. Energy **195**, 586–599 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.03.074
- Luo, W.B., Zhou, F., Zhao, X.M., et al.: Synthesis, characterization, and thermal stability of LiNi_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}Co_{1/3-z}Mg_zO₂, LiNi_{1/3-z}Mn_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mg_zO₂, and LiNi_{1/3}Mn_{1/3-z}Co_{1/3}Mg_zO₂. Chem. Mater. **22**, 1164–1172 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1021/ cm902593n
- Sun, Y.K., Kim, D.H., Yoon, C.S., et al.: A novel cathode material with a concentration-gradient for high-energy and safe lithium-ion batteries. Adv. Funct. Mater. 20, 485–491 (2010). https ://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.200901730
- Zeng, X.Q., Zhan, C., Lu, J., et al.: Stabilization of a highcapacity and high-power nickel-based cathode for Li-ion batteries. Chem 4, 690–704 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemp r.2017.12.027
- Kam, K.C., Doeff, M.M.: Aliovalent titanium substitution in layered mixed Li–Ni–Mn–Co oxides for lithium battery applications. J. Mater. Chem. 21, 9991 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1039/ c0jm04193a
- Liu, W.M., Hu, G.R., Peng, Z.D., et al.: Synthesis of spherical LiNi_{0.8}Co_{0.15}Al_{0.05}O₂ cathode materials for lithium-ion batteries by a co-oxidation-controlled crystallization method. Chin. Chem. Lett. 22, 1099–1102 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cclet.2011.01.041
- 92. Li, X., Xie, Z.W., Liu, W.J., et al.: Effects of fluorine doping on structure, surface chemistry, and electrochemical performance of LiNi_{0.8}Co_{0.15}Al_{0.05}O₂. Electrochim. Acta **174**, 1122–1130 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2015.06.099
- Wang, Y., Gu, H.T., Song, J.H., et al.: Suppressing Mn reduction of Li-rich Mn-based cathodes by F-doping for advanced lithium-ion batteries. J. Phys. Chem. C 122, 27836–27842 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.8b08669
- 94. Rastgoo-Deylami, M., Javanbakht, M., Omidvar, H.: Enhanced performance of layered Li_{1.2}Mn_{0.54}Ni_{0.13}Co_{0.13}O₂ cathode material in Li-ion batteries using nanoscale surface coating with fluorine-doped anatase TiO₂. Solid State Ionics **331**, 74–88 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssi.2018.12.025
- 95. Dai, G.L., Du, H.J., Wang, S.S., et al.: Improved electrochemical performance of LiNi_{0.8}Co_{0.15}Al_{0.05}O₂ with ultrathin and thickness-controlled TiO₂ shell via atomic layer deposition technology. RSC Adv. 6, 100841–100848 (2016). https://doi. org/10.1039/c6ra21903a
- 96. Myung, S.T., Izumi, K., Komaba, S., et al.: Role of alumina coating on Li–Ni–Co–Mn–O particles as positive electrode material for lithium-ion batteries. Chem. Mater. 17, 3695–3704 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1021/cm050566s
- 97. Yoon, W.S., Nam, K.W., Jang, D., et al.: Structural study of the coating effect on the thermal stability of charged MgO-coated LiNi_{0.8}Co_{0.2}O₂ cathodes investigated by in situ XRD. J. Power Sources **217**, 128–134 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpows our.2012.05.028
- Lee, S.M., Oh, S.H., Ahn, J.P., et al.: Electrochemical properties of ZrO₂-coated LiNi_{0.8}Co_{0.2}O₂ cathode materials. J. Power Sources **159**, 1334–1339 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jpowsour.2005.12.035
- 99. Meng, X.B., Yang, X.Q., Sun, X.L.: Emerging applications of atomic layer deposition for lithium-ion battery studies.

Adv. Mater. 24, 3589–3615 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1002/ adma.201200397

- Yan, P.F., Zheng, J.M., Zhang, X.F., et al.: Atomic to nanoscale investigation of functionalities of an Al₂O₃ coating layer on a cathode for enhanced battery performance. Chem. Mater. 28, 857–863 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.5b043 01
- 101. Liu, W., Oh, P., Liu, X.E., et al.: Nickel-rich layered lithium transition-metal oxide for high-energy lithium-ion batteries. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 54, 4440–4457 (2015). https://doi. org/10.1002/anie.201409262
- 102. Manthiram, A., Knight, J.C., Myung, S.T., et al.: Nickel-rich and lithium-rich layered oxide cathodes: progress and perspectives. Adv. Energy Mater. 6, 1501010 (2016). https://doi. org/10.1002/aenm.201501010
- 103. Sun, Y.K., Myung, S.T., Shin, H.S., et al.: Novel core-shellstructured Li[(Ni_{0.8}Co_{0.2})_{0.8}(Ni_{0.5}Mn_{0.5})_{0.2}]O₂ via coprecipitation as positive electrode material for lithium secondary batteries. J. Phys. Chem. B **110**, 6810–6815 (2006). https://doi. org/10.1021/jp0571473
- 104. Sun, Y.K., Bae, Y.C., Myung, S.T.: Synthesis and electrochemical properties of layered LiNi_{1/2}Mn_{1/2}O₂ prepared by coprecipitation. J. Appl. Electrochem. **35**, 151–156 (2005). https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10800-004-6197-5
- 105. Sun, Y.K., Myung, S.T., Park, B.C., et al.: Synthesis of spherical nano- to microscale core-shell particles Li[(Ni_{0.8}Co_{0.1}Mn_{0.1})_{1-x}(Ni_{0.5}Mn_{0.5})_x]O₂ and their applications to lithium batteries. Chem. Mater. **18**, 5159–5163 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1021/ cm061746k
- Sun, Y.K., Chen, Z.H., Noh, H.J., et al.: Nanostructured highenergy cathode materials for advanced lithium batteries. Nat. Mater. 11, 942–947 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3435
- 107. Park, K.J., Choi, M.J., Maglia, F., et al.: High-capacity concentration gradient Li[Ni_{0.865}Co_{0.120}Al_{0.015}]O₂ cathode for lithium-ion batteries. Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1703612 (2018). https://doi. org/10.1002/aenm.201703612
- Lu, J., Wu, T.P., Amine, K.: State-of-the-art characterization techniques for advanced lithium-ion batteries. Nat. Energy 2, 17011 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1038/nenergy.2017.11
- 109. Kim, H., Kim, M.G., Jeong, H.Y., et al.: A new coating method for alleviating surface degradation of LiNi_{0.6}Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.2}O₂ Cathode material: nanoscale surface treatment of primary particles. Nano Lett. **15**, 2111–2119 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1021/acs. nanolett.5b00045
- 110. Xu, X., Huo, H., Jian, J.Y., et al.: Lithium-ion batteries: radially oriented single-crystal primary nanosheets enable ultrahigh rate and cycling properties of LiNi_{0.8}Co_{0.1}Mn_{0.1}O₂ cathode material for lithium-ion batteries. Adv. Energy Mater. 9, 1970051 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201970051
- 111. Noh, H.J., Chen, Z.H., Yoon, C.S., et al.: Cathode material with nanorod structure: an application for advanced high-energy and safe lithium batteries. Chem. Mater. 25, 2109–2115 (2013). https ://doi.org/10.1021/cm4006772
- 112. Lu, J., Chen, Z.W., Pan, F., et al.: High-performance anode materials for rechargeable lithium-ion batteries. Electrochem. Energ. Rev. 1, 35–53 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1007/s4191 8-018-0001-4
- Takami, N., Hoshina, K., Inagaki, H.: Lithium diffusion in Li_{4/3}Ti_{5/3}O₄ particles during insertion and extraction. J. Electrochem. Soc. **158**, A725 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1149/1.35740 37
- Wu, K., Yang, J., Zhang, Y., et al.: Investigation on Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ batteries developed for hybrid electric vehicle. J. Appl. Electrochem.
 42, 989–995 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10800-012-0442-0
- 115. Li, P.H., Wang, W., Gong, S., et al.: Hydrogenated Na₂Ti₃O₇ epitaxially grown on flexible N-doped carbon sponge for

potassium-ion batteries. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces. **10**, 37974–37980 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.8b11354

- Dou, F., Shi, L.Y., Chen, G.R., et al.: Silicon/carbon composite anode materials for lithium-ion batteries. Electrochem. Energ. Rev. 2, 149–198 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1007/s41918-018-00028-w
- 117. Vetter, J., Novák, P., Wagner, M.R., et al.: Ageing mechanisms in lithium-ion batteries. J. Power Sources 147, 269–281 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2005.01.006
- Chen, Z.H., Qin, Y., Ren, Y., et al.: Multi-scale study of thermal stability of lithiated graphite. Energy Environ. Sci. 4, 4023 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1039/c1ee01786a
- Barré, A., Deguilhem, B., Grolleau, S., et al.: A review on lithium-ion battery ageing mechanisms and estimations for automotive applications. J. Power Sources 241, 680–689 (2013). https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2013.05.040
- 120. Xu, K.: Nonaqueous liquid electrolytes for lithium-based rechargeable batteries. Chem. Rev. 104, 4303–4418 (2004). https ://doi.org/10.1021/cr030203g
- 121. Zhao, L.W., Watanabe, I., Doi, T., et al.: TG-MS analysis of solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) on graphite negative-electrode in lithium-ion batteries. J. Power Sources 161, 1275–1280 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2006.05.045
- Waldmann, T., Hogg, B.I., Wohlfahrt-Mehrens, M.: Li plating as unwanted side reaction in commercial Li-ion cells—a review. J. Power Sources 384, 107–124 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jpowsour.2018.02.063
- Wang, Q.S., Sun, J.H., Yao, X.L., et al.: Thermal behavior of lithiated graphite with electrolyte in lithium-ion batteries. J. Electrochem. Soc. 153, A329 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1149/1.21399 55
- 124. Aurbach, D., Zaban, A., Ein-Eli, Y., et al.: Recent studies on the correlation between surface chemistry, morphology, threedimensional structures and performance of Li and Li-C intercalation anodes in several important electrolyte systems. J. Power Sources 68, 91–98 (1997). https://doi.org/10.1016/s0378 -7753(97)02575-5
- 125. Spotnitz, R., Franklin, J.: Abuse behavior of high-power, lithium-ion cells. J. Power Sources 113, 81–100 (2003). https://doi. org/10.1016/s0378-7753(02)00488-3
- 126. Jung, Y.S., Cavanagh, A.S., Riley, L.A., et al.: Ultrathin direct atomic layer deposition on composite electrodes for highly durable and safe Li-ion batteries. Adv. Mater. 22, 2172–2176 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.200903951
- Chen, Z., Hsu, P.C., Lopez, J., et al.: Fast and reversible thermoresponsive polymer switching materials for safer batteries. Nat. Energy 1, 15009 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1038/nenergy.2015.9
- Zhang, S.S.: A review on electrolyte additives for lithium-ion batteries. J. Power Sources 162, 1379–1394 (2006). https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2006.07.074
- Chung, Y.S., Yoo, S.H., Kim, C.K.: Enhancement of meltdown temperature of the polyethylene lithium-ion battery separator via surface coating with polymers having high thermal resistance. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 48, 4346–4351 (2009). https://doi. org/10.1021/ie900096z
- Orendorff, C.J.: The role of separators in lithium-ion cell safety. Electrochem. Soc. Interface 21, 61–65 (2012). https://doi. org/10.1149/2.f07122if
- Wang, Q.S., Sun, J.H.: Enhancing the safety of lithium ion batteries by 4-isopropyl phenyl diphenyl phosphate. Mater. Lett. 61, 3338–3340 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2006.11.060
- 132. Roh, S.C., Song, K.W., Kim, C.K.: Effects of nonsolvent molecular structure and its content on the formation of the macroporous polyarylate layer coated onto the polyethylene separator.

Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. **50**, 12596–12605 (2011). https://doi. org/10.1021/ie201716m

- Hu, S.Y., Lin, S.D., Tu, Y.Y., et al.: Novel aramid nanofibercoated polypropylene separators for lithium ion batteries. J. Mater. Chem. A 4, 3513–3526 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1039/ c5ta08694a
- Lee, B.P., Messersmith, P.B., Israelachvili, J.N., et al.: Musselinspired adhesives and coatings. Annu. Rev. Mater. Res. 41, 99–132 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-matsci-06291 0-100429
- Waite, J.H.: Mussel power. Nat. Mater. 7, 8–9 (2008). https://doi. org/10.1038/nmat2087
- Lv, X., Li, H., Zhang, Z.Q., et al.: UV grafting modification of polyethylene separator for Li-ion battery. Phys. Procedia 25, 227–232 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phpro.2012.03.076
- 137. Yamamoto, K., Tanaka, H., Sakaguchi, M., et al.: Well-defined poly(methyl methacrylate) grafted to polyethylene with reverse atom transfer radical polymerization initiated by peroxides. Polymer 44, 7661–7669 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polym er.2003.10.006
- Ko, J.M., Min, B.G., Kim, D.W., et al.: Thin-film type Li-ion battery, using a polyethylene separator grafted with glycidyl methacrylate. Electrochim. Acta 50, 367–370 (2004). https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2004.01.127
- Lee, J.Y., Lee, Y.M., Bhattacharya, B., et al.: Separator grafted with siloxane by electron beam irradiation for lithium secondary batteries. Electrochim. Acta 54, 4312–4315 (2009). https://doi. org/10.1016/j.electacta.2009.02.088
- 140. Zhu, X.M., Jiang, X.Y., Ai, X.P., et al.: TiO₂ ceramic-grafted polyethylene separators for enhanced thermostability and electrochemical performance of lithium-ion batteries. J. Membr. Sci. 504, 97–103 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsc i.2015.12.059
- 141. Xiang, Y.Y., Li, J.S., Lei, J.H., et al.: Advanced separators for lithium-ion and lithium-sulfur batteries: a review of recent progress. Chemsuschem 9, 3023–3039 (2016). https://doi. org/10.1002/cssc.201600943
- Lee, Y., Lee, H., Lee, T., et al.: Synergistic thermal stabilization of ceramic/co-polyimide coated polypropylene separators for lithium-ion batteries. J. Power Sources 294, 537–544 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2015.06.106
- 143. Wang, J., Hu, Z.Y., Yin, X.N., et al.: Alumina/phenolphthalein polyetherketone ceramic composite polypropylene separator film for lithium ion power batteries. Electrochim. Acta 159, 61–65 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2015.01.208
- Zhu, X.M., Jiang, X.Y., Ai, X.P., et al.: A highly thermostable ceramic-grafted microporous polyethylene separator for safer lithium-ion batteries. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces. 7, 24119– 24126 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.5b07230
- 145. Nho, Y.C., Sohn, J.Y., Shin, J., et al.: Preparation of nanocomposite γ-Al₂O₃/polyethylene separator crosslinked by electron beam irradiation for lithium secondary battery. Radiat. Phys. Chem. **132**, 65–70 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radphysche m.2016.12.002
- 146. Chen, H., Lin, Q., Xu, Q., et al.: Plasma activation and atomic layer deposition of TiO₂ on polypropylene membranes for improved performances of lithium-ion batteries. J. Membr. Sci. 458, 217–224 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsc i.2014.02.004
- 147. Peng, K., Wang, B., Li, Y.M., et al.: Magnetron sputtering deposition of TiO₂ particles on polypropylene separators for lithiumion batteries. RSC Adv. 5, 81468–81473 (2015). https://doi. org/10.1039/c5ra18171b
- 148. Shi, C., Zhang, P., Huang, S.H., et al.: Functional separator consisted of polyimide nonwoven fabrics and polyethylene coating

layer for lithium-ion batteries. J. Power Sources **298**, 158–165 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2015.08.008

- Wang, Z.H., Xiang, H.F., Wang, L.J., et al.: A paper-supported inorganic composite separator for high-safety lithium-ion batteries. J. Membr. Sci. 553, 10–16 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j. memsci.2018.02.040
- Gong, W.Z., Wei, S.Y., Ruan, S.L., et al.: Electrospun coaxial PPESK/PVDF fibrous membranes with thermal shutdown property used for lithium-ion batteries. Mater. Lett. 244, 126–129 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2019.02.009
- Zhang, H., Zhang, Y., Xu, T.G., et al.: Poly(m-phenylene isophthalamide) separator for improving the heat resistance and power density of lithium-ion batteries. J. Power Sources **329**, 8–16 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2016.08.036
- Lee, J.R., Won, J.H., Kim, J.H., et al.: Evaporation-induced selfassembled silica colloidal particle-assisted nanoporous structural evolution of poly(ethylene terephthalate) nonwoven composite separators for high-safety/high-rate lithium-ion batteries. J. Power Sources 216, 42–47 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jpowsour.2012.05.052
- 153. Zhai, Y.Y., Wang, N., Mao, X., et al.: Sandwich-structured PVdF/ PMIA/PVdF nanofibrous separators with robust mechanical strength and thermal stability for lithium ion batteries. J. Mater. Chem. A 2, 14511–14518 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1039/c4ta0 2151g
- 154. Jeon, K.S., Nirmala, R., Navamathavan, R., et al.: The study of efficiency of Al₂O₃ drop coated electrospun meta-aramid nanofibers as separating membrane in lithium-ion secondary batteries. Mater. Lett. **132**, 384–388 (2014). https://doi. org/10.1016/j.matlet.2014.06.117
- Lee, J., Lee, C.L., Park, K., et al.: Synthesis of an Al₂O₃-coated polyimide nanofiber mat and its electrochemical characteristics as a separator for lithium ion batteries. J. Power Sources 248, 1211–1217 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpows our.2013.10.056
- Jiang, F.J., Yin, L., Yu, Q.C., et al.: Bacterial cellulose nanofibrous membrane as thermal stable separator for lithium-ion batteries. J. Power Sources 279, 21–27 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2014.12.090
- 157. Krol, L.F., Beneventi, D., Alloin, F., et al.: Microfibrillated cellulose-SiO₂ composite nanopapers produced by spray deposition. J. Mater. Sci. 50, 4095–4103 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1007/s1085 3-015-8965-5
- Chun, S.J., Choi, E.S., Lee, E.H., et al.: Eco-friendly cellulose nanofiber paper-derived separator membranes featuring tunable nanoporous network channels for lithium-ion batteries. J. Mater. Chem. 22, 16618 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1039/c2jm32415f
- Xiang, H.F., Chen, J.J., Li, Z., et al.: An inorganic membrane as a separator for lithium-ion battery. J. Power Sources 196, 8651– 8655 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2011.06.055
- 160. Chen, J.J., Wang, S.Q., Cai, D.D., et al.: Porous SiO₂ as a separator to improve the electrochemical performance of spinel LiMn₂O₄ cathode. J. Membr. Sci. **449**, 169–175 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2013.08.028
- Raja, M., Angulakshmi, N., Thomas, S., et al.: Thin, flexible and thermally stable ceramic membranes as separator for lithiumion batteries. J. Membr. Sci. 471, 103–109 (2014). https://doi. org/10.1016/j.memsci.2014.07.058
- Zhang, Y.C., Wang, Z.H., Xiang, H.F., et al.: A thin inorganic composite separator for lithium-ion batteries. J. Membr. Sci. 509, 19–26 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2016.02.047
- 163. He, M.N., Zhang, X.J., Jiang, K.Y., et al.: Pure inorganic separator for lithium ion batteries. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces. 7, 738–742 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1021/am507145h

- 164. Shim, E.G., Nam, T.H., Kim, J.G., et al.: Electrochemical performance of lithium-ion batteries with triphenylphosphate as a flame-retardant additive. J. Power Sources **172**, 919–924 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2007.04.088
- 165. Yim, T., Park, M.S., Woo, S.G., et al.: Self-extinguishing lithium ion batteries based on internally embedded fire-extinguishing microcapsules with temperature-responsiveness. Nano Lett. 15, 5059–5067 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.5b01167
- 166. Liu, K., Liu, W., Qiu, Y.C., et al.: Electrospun core-shell microfiber separator with thermal-triggered flame-retardant properties for lithium-ion batteries. Sci. Adv. 3, e1601978 (2017). https:// doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1601978
- 167. Zhang, H.Y., Cao, Y.L., Yang, H.X., et al.: Facile preparation and electrochemical characterization of poly (4-methoxytriphenylamine)-modified separator as a self-activated potential switch for lithium ion batteries. Electrochim. Acta 108, 191–195 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elect acta.2013.06.116
- Kim, S.Y., Hong, J., Palmore, G.T.R.: Polypyrrole decorated cellulose for energy storage applications. Synth. Met. 162, 1478– 1481 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.synthmet.2012.06.003
- 169. Li, S.L., Xia, L., Zhang, H.Y., et al.: A poly(3-decyl thiophene)modified separator with self-actuating overcharge protection mechanism for LiFePO₄-based lithium ion battery. J. Power Sources **196**, 7021–7024 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpows our.2010.09.111
- 170. Ziv, B., Levy, N., Borgel, V., et al.: Manganese sequestration and Li-ion batteries durability enhancement by polymeric 18-crown-6 ethers. J. Electrochem. Soc. 161, A1213–A1217 (2014). https:// doi.org/10.1149/2.0091409jes
- 171. Banerjee, A., Ziv, B., Shilina, Y., et al.: Multifunctional manganese ions trapping and hydrofluoric acid scavenging separator for lithium ion batteries based on poly(ethylene-alternate-maleic acid) dilithium salt. Adv. Energy Mater. 7, 1601556 (2017). https ://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201601556
- 172. Li, Z.C., Pauric, A.D., Goward, G.R., et al.: Manganese sequestration and improved high-temperature cycling of Li-ion batteries by polymeric aza-15-crown-5. J. Power Sources **272**, 1134–1141 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2014.04.073
- 173. Banerjee, A., Ziv, B., Luski, S., et al.: Increasing the durability of Li-ion batteries by means of manganese ion trapping materials with nitrogen functionalities. J. Power Sources **341**, 457–465 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2016.12.036
- 174. Nishi, Y.: Lithium ion secondary batteries; past 10 years and the future. J. Power Sources 100, 101–106 (2001). https://doi. org/10.1016/s0378-7753(01)00887-4
- 175. Kalhoff, J., Eshetu, G.G., Bresser, D., et al.: Safer electrolytes for lithium-ion batteries: state of the art and perspectives. Chemsuschem 8, 2154–2175 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.20150 0284
- 176. Xu, K.: Electrolytes and interphases in Li-ion batteries and beyond. Chem. Rev. 114, 11503–11618 (2014). https://doi. org/10.1021/cr500003w
- 177. Jiang, J.W., Dahn, J.R.: Effects of solvents and salts on the thermal stability of LiC6. Electrochim. Acta 49, 4599–4604 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2004.05.014
- Haregewoin, A.M., Wotango, A.S., Hwang, B.J.: Electrolyte additives for lithium ion battery electrodes: progress and perspectives. Energy Environ. Sci. 9, 1955–1988 (2016). https:// doi.org/10.1039/c6ee00123h
- 179. Moumouzias, G., Ritzoulis, G., Siapkas, D., et al.: Comparative study of LiBF₄, LiAsF6, LiPF6, and LiClO₄ as electrolytes in propylene carbonate-diethyl carbonate solutions for Li/ LiMn₂O₄ cells. J. Power Sources **122**, 57–66 (2003). https://doi. org/10.1016/S0378-7753(03)00348-3

- 180. Ferrari, S., Quartarone, E., Mustarelli, P., et al.: A binary ionic liquid system composed of *N*-methoxyethyl-*N*-methylpyrrolidinium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)-imide and lithium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide: a new promising electrolyte for lithium batteries. J. Power Sources **194**, 45–50 (2009). https ://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2008.12.013
- 181. Han, H.B., Zhou, S.S., Zhang, D.J., et al.: Lithium bis(fluorosulfonyl)imide (LiFSI) as conducting salt for nonaqueous liquid electrolytes for lithium-ion batteries: physicochemical and electrochemical properties. J. Power Sources **196**, 3623– 3632 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2010.12.040
- Zuo, X.X., Fan, C.J., Liu, J.S., et al.: Lithium tetrafluoroborate as an electrolyte additive to improve the high voltage performance of lithium-ion battery. J. Electrochem. Soc. 160, A1199–A1204 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1149/2.066308jes
- Larush-Asraf, L., Biton, M., Teller, H., et al.: On the electrochemical and thermal behavior of lithium bis(oxalato)borate (LiBOB) solutions. J. Power Sources **174**, 400–407 (2007). https ://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2007.06.171
- 184. Wu, F., Zhu, Q.Z., Chen, R.J., et al.: Ionic liquid electrolytes with protective lithium difluoro(oxalate)borate for high voltage lithium-ion batteries. Nano Energy 13, 546–553 (2015). https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2015.03.042
- 185. Okuoka, S.I., Ogasawara, Y., Suga, Y., et al.: A new sealed lithium-peroxide battery with a co-doped Li₂O cathode in a superconcentrated lithium bis(fluorosulfonyl)amide electrolyte. Sci. Rep. 4, 5684 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1038/srep05684
- Wang, Q.S., Ping, P., Zhao, X.J., et al.: Thermal runaway caused fire and explosion of lithium ion battery. J. Power Sources 208, 210–224 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2012.02.038
- MacNeil, D.D., Dahn, J.R.: The reaction of charged cathodes with nonaqueous solvents and electrolytes: I. Li_{0.5}CoO₂. J. Electrochem. Soc. 148, A1205 (2001). https://doi.org/10.1149/1.14072 45
- Sloop, S.E., Kerr, J.B., Kinoshita, K.: The role of Li-ion battery electrolyte reactivity in performance decline and self-discharge. J. Power Sources 119(120/121), 330–337 (2003). https://doi. org/10.1016/s0378-7753(03)00149-6
- Kawamura, T., Kimura, A., Egashira, M., et al.: Thermal stability of alkyl carbonate mixed-solvent electrolytes for lithium ion cells. J. Power Sources 104, 260–264 (2002). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7753(01)00960-0
- 190. Sloop, S.E., Pugh, J.K., Wang, S., et al.: Chemical reactivity of PF₅ and LiPF₆ in ethylene carbonate/dimethyl carbonate solutions. Electrochem. Solid-State Lett. 4, A42 (2001). https://doi. org/10.1149/1.1353158
- 191. Schmidt, M., Heider, U., Kuehner, A., et al.: Lithium fluoroalkylphosphates: a new class of conducting salts for electrolytes for high energy lithium-ion batteries. J. Power Sources 97(98), 557–560 (2001). https://doi.org/10.1016/s0378-7753(01)00640 -1
- 192. Gnanaraj, J.S., Zinigrad, E., Asraf, L., et al.: On the use of LiPF₃(CF₂CF₃)₃ (LiFAP) solutions for Li-ion batteries. electrochemical and thermal studies. Electrochem. Commun. 5, 946–951 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elecom.2003.08.020
- 193. Xu, M.Q., Xiao, A., Li, W.S., et al.: Investigation of lithium tetrafluorooxalatophosphate as a lithium-ion battery electrolyte. Electrochem. Solid-State Lett. **12**, A155 (2009). https://doi. org/10.1149/1.3134462
- 194. Qin, Y., Chen, Z.H., Liu, J., et al.: Lithium tetrafluoro oxalato phosphate as electrolyte additive for lithium-ion cells. Electrochem. Solid-State Lett. 13, A11 (2010). https://doi. org/10.1149/1.3261738
- 195. Huang, J.Y., Liu, X.J., Kang, X.L., et al.: Study on γ -butyrolactone for LiBOB-based electrolytes. J. Power

Sources 189, 458–461 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpows our.2008.12.088

- 196. Xu, M.Q., Zhou, L., Hao, L.S., et al.: Investigation and application of lithium difluoro(oxalate)borate (LiDFOB) as additive to improve the thermal stability of electrolyte for lithium-ion batteries. J. Power Sources **196**, 6794–6801 (2011). https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2010.10.050
- 197. Younesi, R., Veith, G.M., Johansson, P., et al.: Lithium salts for advanced lithium batteries: Li–metal, Li–O₂, and Li–S. Energy Environ. Sci. 8, 1905–1922 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1039/c5ee0 1215e
- 198. Yang, L., Zhang, H.J., Driscoll, P.F., et al.: Six-Membered-Ring Malonatoborate-Based Lithium Salts as Electrolytes for Lithium Ion Batteries. ECS, Las Vegas, NV (2011). https://doi. org/10.1149/1.3589921
- 199. McMillan, R., Slegr, H., Shu, Z.X., et al.: Fluoroethylene carbonate electrolyte and its use in lithium ion batteries with graphite anodes. J. Power Sources 81(82), 20–26 (1999). https://doi. org/10.1016/s0378-7753(98)00201-8
- 200. Korepp, C., Kern, W., Lanzer, E.A., et al.: 4-Bromobenzyl isocyanate versus benzyl isocyanate: new film-forming electrolyte additives and overcharge protection additives for lithium ion batteries. J. Power Sources **174**, 637–642 (2007). https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2007.06.142
- Li, Z.D., Zhang, Y.C., Xiang, H.F., et al.: Trimethyl phosphite as an electrolyte additive for high-voltage lithium-ion batteries using lithium-rich layered oxide cathode. J. Power Sources 240, 471–475 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2013.04.038
- 202. Chandrasekaran, R., Koh, M., Ozhawa, Y., et al.: Electrochemical cell studies on fluorinated natural graphite in propylene carbonate electrolyte with difluoromethyl acetate (MFA) additive for low temperature lithium battery application. J. Chem. Sci. 121, 339–346 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1007/s12039-009-0039-2
- 203. Xia, L., Xia, Y.G., Wang, C.S., et al.: 5 V-class electrolytes based on fluorinated solvents for Li-ion batteries with excellent cyclability. ChemElectroChem 2, 1707–1712 (2015). https://doi. org/10.1002/celc.201500286
- 204. Lee, Y.M., Nam, K.M., Hwang, E.H., et al.: Interfacial origin of performance improvement and fade for 4.6 V LiNi_{0.5}Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.3}O₂ battery cathodes. J. Phys. Chem. C **118**, 10631–10639 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1021/jp501670g
- Xiang, H.F., Xu, H.Y., Wang, Z.Z., et al.: Dimethyl methylphosphonate (DMMP) as an efficient flame retardant additive for the lithium-ion battery electrolytes. J. Power Sources **173**, 562–564 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2007.05.001
- Levchik, S.V., Weil, E.D.: A review of recent progress in phosphorus-based flame retardants. J. Fire Sci. 24, 345–364 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1177/0734904106068426
- 207. Tu, W.Q., Xia, P., Zheng, X.W., et al.: Insight into the interaction between layered lithium-rich oxide and additive-containing electrolyte. J. Power Sources 341, 348–356 (2017). https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2016.12.012
- Nam, T.H., Shim, E.G., Kim, J.G., et al.: Diphenyloctyl phosphate and tris(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl) phosphite as flame-retardant additives for Li-ion cell electrolytes at elevated temperature. J. Power Sources 180, 561–567 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jpowsour.2008.01.061
- Wang, X.M., Yasukawa, E., Kasuya, S.: Nonflammable trimethyl phosphate solvent-containing electrolytes for lithium-ion batteries: I. fundamental properties. J. Electrochem. Soc. 148, A1058 (2001). https://doi.org/10.1149/1.1397773
- Xu, K., Ding, M.S., Zhang, S.S., et al.: An attempt to formulate nonflammable lithium ion electrolytes with alkyl phosphates and phosphazenes. J. Electrochem. Soc. 149, A622 (2002). https:// doi.org/10.1149/1.1467946

- Yoon, W.S., Haas, O., Muhammad, S., et al.: In situ soft XAS study on nickel-based layered cathode material at elevated temperatures: a novel approach to study thermal stability. Sci. Rep. 4, 6827 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1038/srep06827
- Liu, J.W., Song, X., Zhou, L., et al.: Fluorinated phosphazene derivative—a promising electrolyte additive for high voltage lithium ion batteries: from electrochemical performance to corrosion mechanism. Nano Energy 46, 404–414 (2018). https://doi. org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2018.02.029
- Ihara, M., Hang, B.T., Sato, K., et al.: Properties of carbon anodes and thermal stability in LiPF₆/methyl difluoroacetate electrolyte. J. Electrochem. Soc. **150**, A1476 (2003). https://doi. org/10.1149/1.1614269
- Lee, H.H., Wang, Y.Y., Wan, C.C., et al.: The function of vinylene carbonate as a thermal additive to electrolyte in lithium batteries. J. Appl. Electrochem. 35, 615–623 (2005). https://doi. org/10.1007/s10800-005-2700-x
- Ota, H., Sakata, Y., Inoue, A., et al.: Analysis of vinylene carbonate derived SEI layers on graphite anode. J. Electrochem. Soc. 151, A1659 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1149/1.1785795
- Vollmer, J.M., Curtiss, L.A., Vissers, D.R., et al.: Reduction mechanisms of ethylene, propylene, and vinylethylene carbonates. J. Electrochem. Soc. 151, A178 (2004). https://doi. org/10.1149/1.1633765
- Chen, Z.H., Qin, Y., Amine, K.: Redox shuttles for safer lithiumion batteries. Electrochim. Acta 54, 5605–5613 (2009). https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2009.05.017
- Xiao, L.F., Ai, X.P., Cao, Y.L., et al.: Electrochemical behavior of biphenyl as polymerizable additive for overcharge protection of lithium ion batteries. Electrochim. Acta 49, 4189–4196 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2004.04.013
- Elia, G.A., Ulissi, U., Jeong, S., et al.: Exceptional long-life performance of lithium-ion batteries using ionic liquid-based electrolytes. Energy Environ. Sci. 9, 3210–3220 (2016). https://doi. org/10.1039/c6ee01295g
- 220. Bhatt, A.I., Best, A.S., Huang, J.H., et al.: Application of the *N*-propyl-*N*-methyl-pyrrolidinium bis(fluorosulfonyl)imide RTIL containing lithium bis(fluorosulfonyl)imide in ionic liquid based lithium batteries. J. Electrochem. Soc. **157**, A66 (2010). https:// doi.org/10.1149/1.3257978
- 221. Mun, J., Yim, T., Jurng, S., et al.: The feasibility of a pyrrolidinium-based ionic liquid solvent for non-graphitic carbon electrodes. Electrochem. Commun. 13, 1256–1259 (2011). https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.elecom.2011.08.030
- Lewandowski, A., Świderska-Mocek, A.: Ionic liquids as electrolytes for Li-ion batteries: an overview of electrochemical studies. J. Power Sources **194**, 601–609 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jpowsour.2009.06.089
- 223. Fernicola, A., Croce, F., Scrosati, B., et al.: LiTFSI-BEPyTFSI as an improved ionic liquid electrolyte for rechargeable lithium batteries. J. Power Sources **174**, 342–348 (2007). https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2007.09.013
- 224. Patry, G., Romagny, A., Martinet, S., et al.: Cost modeling of lithium-ion battery cells for automotive applications. Energy Sci. Eng. 3, 71–82 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1002/ese3.47
- 225. Blidberg, A., Gustafsson, T., Tengstedt, C., et al.: Monitoring Li_xFeSO_4F (x = 1, 0.5, 0) phase distributions in operando to determine reaction homogeneity in porous battery electrodes. Chem. Mater. **29**, 7159–7169 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1021/acs. chemmater.7b01019
- 226. Harris, S.J., Timmons, A., Baker, D.R., et al.: Direct in situ measurements of Li transport in Li-ion battery negative electrodes. Chem. Phys. Lett. 485, 265–274 (2010). https://doi. org/10.1016/j.cplett.2009.12.033

- 227. Sander, J.S., Erb, R.M., Li, L., et al.: High-performance battery electrodes via magnetic templating. Nat. Energy **1**, 16099 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1038/nenergy.2016.99
- Ebner, M., Chung, D.W., García, R.E., et al.: Electrodes: tortuosity anisotropy in lithium-ion battery electrodes. Adv. Energy Mater. 4, 1301278 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.20147 0024
- Chen, C.J., Zhang, Y., Li, Y.J., et al.: Highly conductive, lightweight, low-tortuosity carbon frameworks as ultrathick 3D current collectors. Adv. Energy Mater. 7, 1700595 (2017). https:// doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201700595
- 230. Wilke, S., Schweitzer, B., Khateeb, S., et al.: Preventing thermal runaway propagation in lithium ion battery packs using a phase change composite material: an experimental study. J. Power Sources 340, 51–59 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpows our.2016.11.018
- 231. Finegan, D.P., Scheel, M., Robinson, J.B., et al.: In-operando high-speed tomography of lithium-ion batteries during thermal runaway. Nat. Commun. 6, 6924 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1038/ ncomms7924
- Zhong, H., Kong, C., Zhan, H., et al.: Safe positive temperature coefficient composite cathode for lithium ion battery. J. Power Sources 216, 273–280 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpows our.2012.05.015
- 233. Feng, X.M., Ai, X.P., Yang, H.X.: A positive-temperaturecoefficient electrode with thermal cut-off mechanism for use in rechargeable lithium batteries. Electrochem. Commun. 6, 1021– 1024 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elecom.2004.07.021
- Zhang, H.Y., Pang, J., Ai, X.P., et al.: Poly(3-butylthiophene)based positive-temperature-coefficient electrodes for safer lithium-ion batteries. Electrochim. Acta 187, 173–178 (2016). https ://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2015.11.036
- Yang, H., Leow, W.R., Chen, X.D.: Thermal-responsive polymers for enhancing safety of electrochemical storage devices. Adv. Mater. 30, 1704347 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.20170 4347
- Kise, M., Yoshioka, S., Hamano, K., et al.: Development of new safe electrode for lithium rechargeable battery. J. Power Sources 146, 775–778 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpows our.2005.03.158
- 237. Kise, M., Yoshioka, S., Hamano, K., et al.: Alternating current impedance behavior and overcharge tolerance of lithiumion batteries using positive temperature coefficient cathodes. J. Electrochem. Soc. 153, A1004 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1149/1.2189262
- Abada, S., Marlair, G., Lecocq, A., et al.: Safety focused modeling of lithium-ion batteries: a review. J. Power Sources 306, 178–192 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpows our.2015.11.100
- Xia, L., Li, S.L., Ai, X.P., et al.: Temperature-sensitive cathode materials for safer lithium-ion batteries. Energy Environ. Sci. 4, 2845 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1039/c0ee00590h
- 240. Mai, L.Q., Yan, M.Y., Zhao, Y.L.: Track batteries degrading in real time. Nature 546, 469–470 (2017). https://doi. org/10.1038/546469a
- 241. Mutyala, M.S.K., Zhao, J.Z., Li, J.Y., et al.: In-situ temperature measurement in lithium ion battery by transferable flexible thin film thermocouples. J. Power Sources 260, 43–49 (2014). https ://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2014.03.004
- Forgez, C., Vinh Do, D., Friedrich, G., et al.: Thermal modeling of a cylindrical LiFePO₄/graphite lithium-ion battery. J. Power Sources **195**, 2961–2968 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpows our.2009.10.105
- 243. Zhu, J.G., Sun, Z.C., Wei, X.Z., et al.: Battery internal temperature estimation for LiFePO₄ battery based on impedance phase

shift under operating conditions. Energies **10**, 60 (2017). https://doi.org/10.3390/en10010060

- Lee, C.Y., Chuang, S.M., Lee, S.J., et al.: Flexible micro sensor for in situ monitoring temperature and voltage of coin cells. Sens. Actuat. A Phys. 232, 214–222 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/j. sna.2015.06.004
- Lee, C.Y., Lee, S.J., Hung, Y.M., et al.: Integrated microsensor for real-time microscopic monitoring of local temperature, voltage and current inside lithium ion battery. Sens. Actuat. A Phys. 253, 59–68 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sna.2016.10.011
- 246. Ghannoum, A., Nieva, P., Yu, A.P., et al.: Development of embedded fiber-optic evanescent wave sensors for optical characterization of graphite anodes in lithium-ion batteries. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces. 9, 41284–41290 (2017). https://doi. org/10.1021/acsami.7b13464
- Nascimento, M., Ferreira, M.S., Pinto, J.L.: Real time thermal monitoring of lithium batteries with fiber sensors and thermocouples: a comparative study. Measurement 111, 260–263 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2017.07.049
- Nascimento, M., Novais, S., Ding, M.S., et al.: Internal strain and temperature discrimination with optical fiber hybrid sensors in Li-ion batteries. J. Power Sources 410(411), 1–9 (2019). https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2018.10.096
- Sommer, L.W., Kiesel, P., Ganguli, A., et al.: Fast and slow ion diffusion processes in lithium ion pouch cells during cycling observed with fiber optic strain sensors. J. Power Sources 296, 46–52 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2015.07.025
- 250. Novais, S., Nascimento, M., Grande, L., et al.: Internal and external temperature monitoring of a Li-ion battery with fiber Bragg grating sensors. Sensors 16, 1394 (2016). https://doi. org/10.3390/s16091394
- Suresh, P., Shukla, A.K., Munichandraiah, N.: Temperature dependence studies of a.c. impedance of lithium-ion cells. J. Appl. Electrochem. 32, 267–273 (2002)
- 252. Zhu, J.G., Sun, Z.C., Wei, X.Z., et al.: A new lithium-ion battery internal temperature on-line estimate method based on electrochemical impedance spectroscopy measurement. J. Power Sources 274, 990–1004 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpows our.2014.10.182
- 253. Srinivasan, R., Demirev, P.A., Carkhuff, B.G.: Rapid monitoring of impedance phase shifts in lithium-ion batteries for hazard prevention. J. Power Sources 405, 30–36 (2018). https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2018.10.014
- Wu, M.S., Chiang, P.C.J., Lin, J.C.: Electrochemical investigations on advanced lithium-ion batteries by three-electrode measurements. J. Electrochem. Soc. 152, A47 (2005). https:// doi.org/10.1149/1.1825385
- Srinivasan, R., Carkhuff, B.G., Butler, M.H., et al.: Instantaneous measurement of the internal temperature in lithium-ion rechargeable cells. Electrochim. Acta 56, 6198–6204 (2011). https://doi. org/10.1016/j.electacta.2011.03.136
- An, S.J., Li, J.L., Daniel, C., et al.: Design and demonstration of three-electrode pouch cells for lithium-ion batteries. J. Electrochem. Soc. 164, A1755–A1764 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1149/2.0031709jes
- 257. Yang, X.G., Ge, S.H., Liu, T., et al.: A look into the voltage plateau signal for detection and quantification of lithium plating in lithium-ion cells. J. Power Sources **395**, 251–261 (2018). https ://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2018.05.073
- 258. Jansen, A.N., Dees, D.W., Abraham, D.P., et al.: Low-temperature study of lithium-ion cells using a $\text{Li}_{y}S_{n}$ micro-reference electrode. J. Power Sources **174**, 373–379 (2007). https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2007.06.235
- 259. La Mantia, F., Wessells, C.D., Deshazer, H.D., et al.: Reliable reference electrodes for lithium-ion batteries. Electrochem.

Commun. **31**, 141–144 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eleco m.2013.03.015

- Liu, Q.Q., Du, C.Y., Shen, B., et al.: Understanding undesirable anode lithium plating issues in lithium-ion batteries. RSC Adv. 6, 88683–88700 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1039/c6ra19482f
- Wu, H., Zhuo, D., Kong, D.S., et al.: Improving battery safety by early detection of internal shorting with a bifunctional separator. Nat. Commun. 5, 5193 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomm s6193
- Burns, J.C., Stevens, D.A., Dahn, J.R.: In-situ detection of lithium plating using high precision coulometry. J. Electrochem. Soc. 162, A959–A964 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1149/2.06215 06jes
- Bitzer, B., Gruhle, A.: A new method for detecting lithium plating by measuring the cell thickness. J. Power Sources 262, 297–302 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2014.03.142
- Rieger, B., Schuster, S.F., Erhard, S.V., et al.: Multi-directional laser scanning as innovative method to detect local cell damage during fast charging of lithium-ion cells. J. Energy Storage 8, 1–5 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.est.2016.09.002
- 265. Grimsmann, F., Gerbert, T., Brauchle, F., et al.: Determining the maximum charging currents of lithium-ion cells for small charge quantities. J. Power Sources 365, 12–16 (2017). https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2017.08.044
- Uhlmann, C., Illig, J., Ender, M., et al.: In situ detection of lithium metal plating on graphite in experimental cells. J. Power Sources 279, 428–438 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpows our.2015.01.046
- Smart, M.C., Ratnakumar, B.V.: Effects of electrolyte composition on lithium plating in lithium-ion cells. J. Electrochem. Soc. 158, A379 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1149/1.3544439
- Petzl, M., Danzer, M.A.: Nondestructive detection, characterization, and quantification of lithium plating in commercial lithiumion batteries. J. Power Sources 254, 80–87 (2014). https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2013.12.060
- von Lüders, C., Zinth, V., Erhard, S.V., et al.: Lithium plating in lithium-ion batteries investigated by voltage relaxation and in situ neutron diffraction. J. Power Sources 342, 17–23 (2017). https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2016.12.032
- 270. Schindler, S., Bauer, M., Petzl, M., et al.: Voltage relaxation and impedance spectroscopy as in-operando methods for the detection of lithium plating on graphitic anodes in commercial lithium-ion cells. J. Power Sources **304**, 170–180 (2016). https ://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2015.11.044
- 271. Guo, Z.S., Zhu, J.Y., Feng, J.M., et al.: Direct in situ observation and explanation of lithium dendrite of commercial graphite electrodes. RSC Adv. 5, 69514–69521 (2015). https://doi. org/10.1039/c5ra13289d
- 272. Mehdi, B.L., Qian, J., Nasybulin, E., et al.: Observation and quantification of nanoscale processes in lithium batteries by operando electrochemical (S)TEM. Nano Lett. 15, 2168–2173 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.5b00175
- 273. Sagane, F., Shimokawa, R., Sano, H., et al.: In-situ scanning electron microscopy observations of Li plating and stripping reactions at the lithium phosphorus oxynitride glass electrolyte/ Cu interface. J. Power Sources 225, 245–250 (2013). https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2012.10.026
- 274. Wandt, J., Jakes, P., Granwehr, J., et al.: Quantitative and timeresolved detection of lithium plating on graphite anodes in lithium ion batteries. Mater. Today 21, 231–240 (2018). https://doi. org/10.1016/j.mattod.2017.11.001
- Gotoh, K., Izuka, M., Arai, J., et al.: In situ 7Li nuclear magnetic resonance study of the relaxation effect in practical lithium ion batteries. Carbon **79**, 380–387 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1016/j. carbon.2014.07.080

- Finegan, D.P., Darcy, E., Keyser, M., et al.: Thermal runaway: identifying the cause of rupture of Li-ion batteries during thermal runaway. Adv. Sci. 5, 1870003 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1002/ advs.201870003
- 277. Sun, J., Li, J.G., Zhou, T., et al.: Toxicity, a serious concern of thermal runaway from commercial Li-ion battery. Nano Energy 27, 313–319 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoe n.2016.06.031
- 278. Fernandes, Y., Bry, A., de Persis, S.: Identification and quantification of gases emitted during abuse tests by overcharge of a commercial Li-ion battery. J. Power Sources 389, 106–119 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2018.03.034



Jian Duan is currently a Ph.D. candidate in the School of Materials Science and Engineering, Tongji University. He received his B.S. and M.S. degrees from Huazhong University of Science and Technology (HUST) in 2012 and in 2015, respectively. In July 2015, he joined the Contemporary Amperex Technology Co. Limited (CATL) as a battery engineer and focused on the design of high-performance lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) for electric vehicles (EVs) and had worked for 2 years until he came

back to study in Tongji University. Now he focuses on building LIBs with high energy density, safety issues of LIBs and all solid-state batteries.





Xuan Tang is a Ph.D. candidate under the supervision of Prof. Xuezhe Wei at the Clean Energy Automotive Engineering Center, School of Automotive Studies, at Tongji University. He received his M.S. degree from Hunan University, China, in 2016. His current research is focusing on the safety issues and corresponding solutions of the energy storage system for new energy vehicles (NEVs).

Haifeng Dai is currently a full professor at Tongji University. He received his Ph.D. in vehicular engineering in 2008 from Tongji University. His current research interest mainly focuses on the topics of characteristic modeling, state estimation, aging and safety issues of lithium-ion batteries and fuel cell systems for vehicular applications. Professor Dai has co-authored more than 40 research papers published in refereed journals and has been authorized more than 15 patents.



Ying Yang is now working as a postdoctoral fellow in the group of Prof. Yunhui Huang at the Clean Energy Automotive Engineering Center of Tongji University. She received her Ph.D. degree in physical chemistry from Dalian University of Technology (DUT), China, in 2018. Currently, her research interest mainly focuses on the advanced alloytype anode materials, especially tin-based materials for Li-ion batteries and Na-ion batteries, and cathode materials of Al ion batteries such as carbon materials.





Wangyan Wu is currently a senior student of Tongji University, majoring in materials science and engineering. He started his Ph.D. career from September 2019 under the supervision of Prof. Wei Luo at the Institute of New Energy for Vehicles, Tongji University. He has previously joined in programs on solid-state batteries.



Xuezhe Wei is a professor of automotive engineering at Tongji University. He received his Ph.D. in automotive engineering from Tongji University in 2005. He works as the director of the Clean Energy Automotive Engineering Center and the vice dean of the School of Automotive Studies in Tongji University. His current research is focusing on power systems for new energy vehicles (NEVs), which includes the battery management system (BMS) for battery electric vehicles (BEVs), the fuel cell control system for fuel cell vehicles (FCVs), etc.

Yunhui Huang received his Ph.D. from Peking University in 2000. From 2004 to 2007, he worked with Prof. John Goodenough in the University of Texas at Austin. In 2008, he became a Chair Professor of materials science in Huazhong University of Science and Technology. He is now the Director of the Institute of New Energy for Vehicles in Tongji University. His research group works on rechargeable batteries for energy storage and their electrode materials.